arXiv:1403.6852v1 [math.AG] 26 Mar 2014

VANISHING THEOREMS FOR LINEARLY OBSTRUCTED DIVISORS

OLIVIA DUMITRESCU AND ELISA POSTINGHEL

Abstract. We study divisors in the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^n$ at points in general position that are non-special with respect to the notion of linear speciality introduced in [5]. We describe the cohomology groups of their strict transforms via the blow-up of the space along their linear base locus. We extend the result to non-effective divisors that sit in a small region outside the effective cone. As an application, we describe linear systems of divisors in $\mathbb{P}^n$ blown-up at points in star configuration and their strict transforms via the blow-up of the linear base locus. Finally we illustrate in one famous special example how to combine these techniques with degenerations in order to translate non-linear obstructions to linear obstructions.

1. Introduction

We denote by $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{n,d}(m_1, \dots, m_s)$ the linear system of hypersurfaces of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^n$ passing through a collection of s points in general position with multiplicities $m_1, \dots, m_s$. The (affine) *virtual dimension* of $\mathcal{L}$ is denoted by

$$\mathrm{vdim}(\mathcal{L}) = \binom{n+d}{n} - \sum_{i=1}^{s} \binom{n+m_i-1}{n}$$

and the *expected dimension* of $\mathcal{L}$ is $\mathrm{edim}(\mathcal{L}) = \max(\mathrm{vdim}(\mathcal{L}), 0)$.

We recall the general setting of classical interpolation problems in $\mathbb{P}^n$ and we introduce a new perspective from which the authors are studying these problems.

If D is the strict transform of a general divisor in $\mathcal{L}$ in the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^n$ at the s points, then $\mathrm{vdim}(\mathcal{L})$ equals $\chi(\mathcal{O}(D))$. The inequality $\dim(\mathcal{L}) \geq \mathrm{edim}(\mathcal{L})$ is always satisfied. However if the conditions imposed by the assigned points are not linearly independent, then the actual dimension of $\mathcal{L}$ is strictly greater that the expected one: in that case we say that $\mathcal{L}$ (or D) is *special*. Otherwise, whenever the actual and the expected dimension coincide we say that $\mathcal{L}$ is *non-special*.

It is obvious that knowing the dimension of $\mathcal{L}$ is equivalent to classifying the speciality of linear systems. However, due to its complexity and mysterious geometry, the simple question of predicting and computing dimensions of such vector spaces is not even conjectured when n is four or higher.

In the last century the problem of classifying linear systems was studied with different techniques by many people. We will briefly mention a few important results. In the planar case, the Segre-Harbourne-Gimigliano-Hirschowitz conjecture,

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 14C20. Secondary: 14J70, 14C17.

Key words and phrases. Linear systems, Fat points, Base locus, Linear speciality, Effective cone.

The first author is member of "Simion Stoilow" Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy (http://www.imar.ro/).

that we refer to as the SHGH conjecture, describes all effective special linear systems. On the negative side a conjecture related to the vanishing theorems of a linear system is Nagata's conjecture that predicts the nef cone of linear systems in the blown-up plane at general points. The degeneration technique introduced by Ciliberto and Miranda (see e.g. [9, 10]) is a successful method in the study of interpolation problems, however, in spite of many partial results, both conjectures are still open in general. In the case of $\mathbb{P}^3$, there is an analogous conjectural classification of effective special linear systems formulated by Laface and Ugaglia (see e.g. [19]).

However in the case of $\mathbb{P}^n$ general results are rare and few things are known. First the well-known Alexander-Hirschowitz Theorem states that a linear system in $\mathbb{P}^n$ with arbitrary number of double points is non-special besides a list of exceptional cases in small degree (see e.g. [1, 4, 21] for more details). For higher multiplicities, the only general result known so far is a complete cohomological classification of the speciality for *only linearly obstructed* effective linear systems, proved by Brambilla, Dumitrescu and Postinghel in [5] (see also [7]). One of the goals of this paper is to extend such a classification [5] to the non-effective case.

In order to classify the special linear systems, one has to understand first what are the obstructions, namely what are the varieties that whenever contained with multiplicity in the base locus of a given divisor force $\mathcal{L}$ to be special. In [2] and [3] these *obstructions* are named *special effect varieties*. Few examples of special effect varieties in small dimension were classified before [5]. The only other examples known were (-1)-curves in $\mathbb{P}^2$ and $\mathbb{P}^3$ (see [19]) and the list of exceptions from Alexander-Hirschowitz Theorem. Theorem 1.4 (that was proved in [5, Theorem 4.6]) and Corollary 5.2 prove that, for any effective divisor, *linear cycles* of arbitrary dimension are always special effect varieties.

In the direction of extending the SHGH conjecture to $\mathbb{P}^n$ and possibly to other rational projective varieties, we address the same natural and general question as in [5].

Question 1.1 ([5, Question 1.1])**.** *Consider any non-empty linear system $\mathcal{L}$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$. Denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ the strict transform of $\mathcal{L}$ in the blow-up X of $\mathbb{P}^n$ along the base locus of $\mathcal{L}$. Does $h^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}))$ vanish for all $i \geq 1$?*

We remark that $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ is obtained blowing-up the whole base locus of D, in particular the fixed hypersurfaces. Precisely, since these divisorial components of the base locus split off the system, to blow them up is equivalent to remove them. For linear divisorial components more details are presented in Section 2. In view of what has just been said, an affirmative answer to Question 1.1 would imply that SHGH and Laface-Ugaglia conjectures hold true in the cases of $\mathbb{P}^2$ and $\mathbb{P}^3$ respectively. Moreover it is equivalent to the effective cone description via the information of special effect varieties and their normal bundle cohomological description.

In general this question is difficult since it requires first to describe the base locus of a linear system and second to understand the contribution given by each component of the base locus to the speciality of the linear system. So far we don't know any counterexample for Question 1.1 in the planar case or in dimension n. An affirmative answer to Question 1.1 implies that $\dim(\mathcal{L}) = \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}))$, translating the classical dimension problem of linear systems into a Riemann-Roch formula for divisors living in further blown-up spaces. We denote by $\tilde{D}$ the strict transform

after the blow-up of the linear base locus, while $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ denotes the strict transform after the blow-up of the total base locus. Due to the combinatorial and geometrical complexity of this problem so far we only understand properties of divisors $\tilde{D}$ and we will present them in detail. Moreover in Section 7 we show in one well-known example how to use the results of $\tilde{D}$ in degenerations to derive results for $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$. We mention that for $s \leq n+2$ the divisors $\tilde{D}$ that appear in Sections 5 and 6 are divisors in $\overline{M}_{0,n+3}$ so understanding their cohomological description can be used in the study of the Effective cone of $\overline{M}_{0,n+3}$ (see also [5, Section 6.3]).

In this paper our original vision is that via the computation of normal bundles of special effect varieties and their cohomological information, the vanishing theorems of the strict transform $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ are equivalent to a dimension count of the global sections for the sheaf $\mathcal{O}(D)$, and in particular to information about the effective cone of the blown-up $\mathbb{P}^n$ at points (see also Corollary 6.5). More precisely, computations of the normal bundle is done in Section 3. Section 4 proves that by knowing the dimension of linear systems we can recover the vanishing theorems. Conversely, Corollary 5.2 shows that vanishing theorems of $\tilde{D}$ imply the dimension count and furthermore we conclude by explicitly proving the vanishing theorems in Sections 5 and 6.

In the article [5], the authors introduced a new notion of expected dimension for linear systems, that takes into account the linear obstructions and extends the notion of virtual dimension, namely *linear virtual dimension*. We mention that in this paper, we will use $\operatorname{ldim}(\mathcal{L})$ to denote the (affine) linear virtual dimension, and not the (projective) expected linear dimension one as in [5].

Definition 1.2 ([5, Definition 3.2])**.** *Given a linear system, for any integer* $-1 \leq r \leq s-1$ *and for any multi-index* $I(r) = \{i_1, \dots, i_{r+1}\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}$*, define the integer*

$$k_{I(r)} := \max(m_{i_1} + \cdots + m_{i_{r+1}} - rd, 0). \tag{1.1}$$

The (affine) linear virtual dimension *of* $\mathcal{L}$*, denoted by* $\operatorname{ldim}(\mathcal{L})$*, is the number*

$$\sum_{r=-1}^{s-1} \sum_{I(r)\subseteq\{1,\dots,s\}} (-1)^{r+1} \binom{n + k_{I(r)} - r - 1}{n}. \tag{1.2}$$

where we set $I(-1) = \emptyset$*. The* (affine) linear expected dimension *of* $\mathcal{L}$ *is defined as follows: it is* 0 *if* $\mathcal{L}$ *is contained in a linear system whose linear virtual dimension is negative, otherwise it is the maximum between the linear virtual dimension of* $\mathcal{L}$ *and* 0*.*

We remark that this notion is well-defined not only for all effective linear systems but also for non-effective ones, that we will study in Sections 5 and 6, provided that $m_i \leq d+1$.

In this light, asking whether the dimension of a given linear system equals its linear expected dimension can be thought as a refinement of the classical question of asking whether the dimension equals the expected dimension. If the answer to this question is affirmative, then $\mathcal{L}$ is said to be a *linearly non-special* or *only linearly obstructed*. Obviously, non-special linear systems are always linearly non-special.

There exist linear systems that are *linearly obstructed* without being *only linearly obstructed*. For instance $\mathcal{L}_{4,10}(6^7)$ contains all lines L_{ij}, $i,j \in \{1,\dots,7\}$ with multiplicity two in its base locus as well as the rational normal curve through the

seven points, see [5, Example 6.2] for more details. Moreover some examples of special linear systems that are *not linearly obstructed* are known: we discuss one of those examples, namely $\mathcal{L}_{3,4}(2^9)$, in Section 7.2.

Throughout this paper we translate the algebraic statements about linear systems into geometric statements about divisors. For this we will also define a divisor D in the blown-up $\mathbb{P}^n$ at points to be *only linearly obstructed* or *linearly non-special* if the corresponding linear system $\mathcal{L}$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ is only linearly obstructed and we will write $\mathrm{vdim}(D)$ and $\mathrm{ldim}(D)$ for the virtual and linear virtual dimensions of $\mathcal{L}$.

We remark that the $\mathrm{ldim}(\mathcal{L})$ formula condenses information of the normal bundles of the linear base locus in a compact form.

Connections between $\mathcal{L}$ being linearly non-special and the Fröberg-Iarrobino conjecture (see [7]), describing the Hilbert series of an ideal generated by s forms, can be found in [5, Section 6]. This reveals the importance of the notion of linear speciality, that was achieved and developed independently from both the geometric and the algebraic setting.

Linear systems with arbitrary number of points s with bounded sum of the multiplicities were classified in [5], for $n \geq 1$, $d \geq 2$, by proving that they are only linearly obstructed.

Theorem 1.3 ([5, Theorem 5.3])**.** *All non-empty linear systems with $s \leq n+2$ points are linearly non-special. Moreover, if $s \geq n+3$ and*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} m_i \leq nd + \min(n - s(d), s - n - 2), \quad 1 \leq m_i \leq d, \tag{4.2}$$

where $s(d) \geq 0$ is the number of points of multiplicity d, then $\mathcal{L}$ is non-empty and linearly non-special.

The new perspective introduced in [5] is built upon the cohomological study of the strict transforms of effective only linearly obstructed divisors, the strict transforms being taken after blowing-up their linear base locus. Moreover in [5] a complete classification was given for effective divisors interpolating $s \leq n+2$ general points, in which range the effective cone was known (see for example [6]).

For every effective divisor D in $\mathcal{L}$, let $D_{(r)}$ denote the strict transform of D in the space $X^n_{(r)}$ obtained as the blow-up of of $\mathbb{P}^n$ along the linear base locus of D up to dimension r, with $r \leq n-1$:

$$D_{(r)} := D - \sum_{\rho=1}^{r} \sum_{I(\rho)\subseteq\{1,\dots,s\}} k_{I(\rho)} E_{I(\rho)},$$

where $E_{I(\rho)}$ denotes the exceptional divisor of the linear subspace of $\mathbb{P}^n$ of dimension ρ spanned by the points parametrized by the multi-index $I(\rho)$. We will set $\tilde{D} := D_{(n-1)}$. To simplify notation here and throughout the paper we will also abbreviate $h^i(X^n_{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_{X^n_{(r)}}(D_{(r)}))$ by $h^i(D_{(r)})$.

Theorem 1.4 ([5, Theorem 4.6])**.** *Under the hypothesis that D is effective and $s \leq n+2$, the following statements hold*

(1) $h^0(D) = \mathrm{ldim}(D)$ and divisors $\tilde{D}$ satisfy $h^i(\tilde{D}) = 0$ for every $i \geq 1$.

(2) For any $0 \leq r \leq n-1$, $h^i(D_{(r)}) = 0$ for every $i \geq 1$ and $i \neq r+1$ while

$$h^{r+1}(D_{(r)}) = \sum_{\rho=r+1}^{s-1} \sum_{I(\rho)\subseteq\{1,\dots,s\}} (-1)^{\rho-r-1} \binom{n + k_{I(\rho)} - \rho - 1}{n}.$$

The geometric interpretation of this result is that for any effective divisor D, every r-dimensional linear cycle $L_{I(r)}$ for which $k_{I(r)} \geq 1$ gives a contribution with alternating sign, $(-1)^{r+1}$, equal to

$$\binom{n + k_{I(r)} - r - 1}{n} \tag{1.3}$$

to $h^{r+1}(D_{(r)})$ and to the formula for $\operatorname{ldim}(D)$ (cfr. Theorem 5.1 and Corollary 5.2). Moreover such a contribution is null when $k_{I(r)} \leq r$.

The goal of this paper is to show that the same type of calssification results as in Theorem 1.4 holds for larger classes of divisors, such as effective divisors with arbitrary number of points and non-effective ones. The definition of strict transform after blowing-up the linear base locus is formally extended to the non-effective case in Section 5.

We first generalize the formula in Theorem 1.4 for any effective divisor, not necessarily linearly non-special, interpolating an arbitrary collection of general multiple points.

Theorem 1.5. *If D is any effective divisor then for any $0 \leq r \leq n-1$ we have*

$$h^{r+1}(D_{(r)}) = \sum_{\rho=r+1}^{s-1} \sum_{I(\rho)\subseteq\{1,\dots,s\}} (-1)^{\rho-r-1} \binom{n + k_{I(\rho)} - \rho - 1}{n} + \sum_{\rho=r+1}^{n} (-1)^{\rho-r-1} h^{\rho}(\tilde{D}).$$

In particular $h^0(D) = \operatorname{ldim}(D) + \sum_{\rho=1}^{n} (-1)^{\rho} h^{\rho}(\tilde{D})$.

Moreover if $h^i(\tilde{D}) = 0$, for all $i \geq 1$, then $h^i(D_{(r)}) = 0$ for all $i \neq r+1$.

This result is part of Theorem 5.1 that will be proved in Section 5 in a more general setting.

The main result of this paper is a complete cohomological description of $D_{(r)}$ in the following cases, where we set $b := \sum_{i=1}^{s} m_i - nd$.

Theorem 1.6. *Theorem 1.4 holds for all divisors with $m_i \leq d+1$ under the following hypothesis: $s \leq n+1$ and $b \leq n$, or $s \geq n+2$ and $b \leq \max(1, s-n-2)$.*

Moreover if $s \leq n+1$ then $h^i(\tilde{D}) = 0$ for all $i \leq n-1$ and $h^n(\tilde{D}) = \binom{b-1}{n}$ for $b \geq n+1$ and zero otherwise.

The theorem summarizes the results contained in Theorem 4.1, Theorem 5.3 (2), Theorem 6.1 and Corollary 6.2. This result shows that Question 1.1 extends to non-effective divisors in a small region of the effective cone with a correct definition for $D_{(r)}$. In order to study classical interpolation problems, a crucial step is the study non-effective divisors. More precisely whenever a linear cycle, is contained in the base locus of a divisor D, its normal bundle is a non-effective divisor and the cohomology groups of its multiples produce the contributions (1.3). However, in the general case of $\mathbb{P}^n$ to compute normal bundles of special effect varieties (and in particular the dimension of $\mathcal{L}$) requires the analysis of empty linear systems that is in general non-trivial and motivates the analysis conducted in Sections 3, 5 and 6.

From Theorems 1.4 and 4.1 for any effective divisor with $s \leq n+2$ and any linearly non-special divisor satisfying the bound (4.2) one obtains $\chi(\tilde{D}) = \operatorname{ldim}(D)$.

This gives a strong interpretation of the notion of *linear expected dimension* that, not only represents a dimension count for the linear system $\mathcal{L}$, but also computes the Euler characteristic of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{D})$. As a corollary of Theorem 1.6 we extend this Riemann-Roch formula to larger classes of divisors obtaining interesting combinatorial identities, see Corollary 6.5. More precisely, toric divisors sitting on the faces of the effective cone have Euler characteristic equal to one. For non-effective divisors, the Euler characteristic is $(-1)^n\binom{b-1}{n}$ if $b \geq n+1$ and null if $b \leq n$. Finally the Euler characteristic vanishes if $s \geq n+2$ and $b \leq \max(1, s-n-2)$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the general construction and notations. The most important concepts, that will be used everywhere in this paper, are the geometry of the exceptional divisors, E_I, the intersection table on the blown-up projective space at different levels, $X^n_{(r)}$, $0 \leq r \leq n-1$, and the normal bundles of the exceptional divisors E_I.

In Section 3 we provide a cohomological classification of a class of interesting divisors, namely integer multiples of Cremona transfomations of the hyperplane classes. They are of fundamental importance in our study, because they appear in the normal bundle of the linear cycles of the base locus of D. The cohomology groups of multiples of such divisors give the contribution of the corresponding linear cycle to $\mathrm{ldim}(D)$.

In Section 4 we first give an explicit description of the linear base locus of any non-empty linear system interpolating an arbitrary number of points in general position, Proposition 4.2. Secondly, we show that the strict transforms after the blow-up of the linear base locus, $\tilde{D}$, are not obstructed for any divisor, D, with arbitrary number of points and whose multiplicities satisfy the bound (4.2), Theorem 4.1. This shows that linear cycles are the only special effect varieties for divisors with small number of points $s \leq n+2$ or divisors that satisfy relation (4.2). The proof of this statement is purely geometric, namely combining Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 and by the intersection table from Section 2 we exploit the infinitesimal information given by each multiplicity. This is an interesting result since in general the spaces we work with, $X^n_{(r)}$, are not Mori Dream Spaces. This result is generalized in Corollary 6.2 to non-effective divisors with $m_i \leq d+1$.

In Section 5 we study the cohomology groups of toric divisors with multiplicities bounded by $d+1$, since in this range ldim is well-defined. Theorem 5.3 provides a complete classification theorem for all toric divisor. We remark that for effective toric divisors (i.e. $b \geq 0$ and $m_i \leq d$) Theorem 5.3 is just a particular case of Theorem 1.4, where the equalities $h^0(D) = \chi(\tilde{D}) = \mathrm{ldim}(D)$ were proved. However for non-effective divisors D, r runs from -1 and reaches n, unlike Theorem 1.4 where r reaches at most $n-1$.

In Section 6 we study vanishing theorems for non-effective divisors with $s \geq n+2$ points in a small regions outside the effective cone. In particular for $s = n+2$, Theorem 6.1 extends the vanishing theorems for strict transforms $\tilde{D}$ for all divisors satisfying $m_i \leq d+1$ and $b \leq 1$. The inequalities (6.1) describe the only region outside the effective cone of the space blown-up at $n+2$ points in general position where vanishing theorems for strict transform after the blow-up of the linear base locus hold, see Remark 6.3. As a corollary, we obtain the same kind of result for non-effective divisors with $s \geq n+3$ points with mutiplicities satisfying the bound (4.2) (see Corollary 6.2).

In Section 7.1 we use the vanishing theorems from Section 5 to study linear systems with points in special position, named star configuration of points. Star configurations of linear subspaces are an interesting class of arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay schemes and have been intensively studied recently, see [16] and references therein. The unique complete result so far in higher dimension is contained in [16, Theorem 3.2], where a classification of linear systems in $\mathbb{P}^n$ interpolating star configurations of linear subspaces with multiplicity two is given. Our original contribution to this problem is Theorem 7.3 where, as an application of the main results of this paper, we compute the dimensions of a class of linear systems in $\mathbb{P}^n$ interpolating star configurations of points with higher multiplicities.

Section 7.2 contains another important application of our work that consists in using degenerations of the blown-up projective spaces and divisors of type $\tilde{D}$ with D as in Section 4 and in Section 5 in order to prove effectivity and speciality for a well-known example. Similar degenerations methods of $\mathbb{P}^n$ have been used in [21, 18] for double points in $\mathbb{P}^n$ and $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ and are now being exploited by the authors for studying general classical interpolation problems.

1.1. **Acknowledgements.** We would like to express our sincere gratitude to Chiara Brambilla, Ciro Ciliberto, Rick Miranda and Brian Osserman for useful discussions. We also thank the organizers of the Workshop on Perspectives and Emerging Topics in Algebra and Combinatorics -PEAKs 2013 (Austria), funded by DFG Conference Grant HA4383/6-1 for the hospitality during their stay that promoted and made this collaboration possible. The research of O.D. has been supported by the Arthur J. Krener fund in the University of California, Davis, and GRK 1463 *Analysis, Geometry, and String Theory* at the Leibniz Universität Hannover. The research of E. P. was partially supported by the project *Secant varieties, computational complexity and toric degenerations* realized within the Homing Plus programme of Foundation for Polish Science, cofinanced from EU, Regional Development Fund.

2. Blowing-up: construction and notation

In this section we recall the main construction that was partially presented in [5, Sect. 4.1].

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a set of subsets of $\{1,\dots,s\}$. We will call multi-index an element of $\mathcal{I}$. For every integer $0 \le r \le \min(n,s)-1$ we denote by $I(r) \in \mathcal{I}$ a multi-index of length $|I(r)| = r+1$. Let us also introduce the notation

$$\mathcal{I}(r) := \{I(\rho) \in \mathcal{I} : \rho \le r\},$$
$$\mathcal{I}(r)_j := \{I(\rho) \in \mathcal{I}(r) \setminus \mathcal{I}(1) : j \in I(\rho)\}.$$

Let $p_1,\dots,p_s$ be general points in $\mathbb{P}^n$ and, for every $I(r) \in \mathcal{I}$, let $L_{I(r)} \cong \mathbb{P}^r$ denote the r-dimensional linear subspace spanned by the points $\{p_j,\ j \in I(r)\}$, which we will refer to as a linear r-cycle. Notice that $L_{I(0)} = p_j$ is a point. An arbitrary multi-index will be denoted by I without specifying its dimension.

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a set of subsets of $\{1,\dots,s\}$ such that

(I) $\{j\} \in \mathcal{I}$, for all $j \in \{1,\dots,s\}$;
(II) if $I \subset J$ and $J \in \mathcal{I}$, then $I \in \mathcal{I}$.

Let $\Lambda = \Lambda(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be the subspace arrangement corresponding to $\mathcal{I}$, i.e. the (finite) union of the linear cycles L_I with $I \in \mathcal{I}$. Let $\bar{r}$ be the dimension of the

biggest linear cycle in Λ, i.e. $\bar{r} = \max_{I\in\mathcal{I}}(|I|) - 1$. Write $\Lambda = \Lambda_{(1)} + \cdots + \Lambda_{(\bar{r})}$, where $\Lambda_{(r)} = \cup_{I(r)\in\mathcal{I}} L_{I(r)}$.

Assume moreover that $\mathcal{I}$ satisfies the following condition

(III) if $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$, then $L_I \cap L_J = L_{I\cap J}$.

Notice that this condition is obviously satisfied when $s \leq n+1$.

We denote by $\pi_{(0)} : X^n_{(0)} \to \mathbb{P}^n$ the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^n$ at $p_1, \ldots, p_s$, with $E_1, \ldots, E_s$ exceptional divisors. Let us also consider the sequence of blow-up maps

$$X^n_{(n-1)} \xrightarrow{\pi_{(n-1)}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\pi_{(2)}} X^n_{(1)} \xrightarrow{\pi_{(1)}} X^n_{(0)},$$

where $X^n_{(r)} \xrightarrow{\pi_{(r)}} X^n_{(r-1)}$ is the blow-up of $X^n_{(r-1)}$ along the pull-back of $\Lambda_{(r)} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, via $\pi_{(r-1)} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{(0)}$. For any $I(r) \in \mathcal{I}$ we denote by $E_{I(r)}$ the exceptional divisors of the cycles $L_{I(r)}$ in $X^n_{(r)}$. We will write, abusing notation, H for the pull-back in $X^n_{(r)}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ and $E_{I(\rho)}$, for $I(\rho) \in \mathcal{I}(r-1)$, for the pull-backs in $X^n_{(r)}$ of the exceptional divisors of $X^n_{(\rho)}$, respectively.

Remark 2.1. Notice that the map $\pi_{(n-1)} : X^n_{(n-1)} \longrightarrow X^n_{(n-2)}$ is an isomorphism and in particular that $\mathrm{Pic}(X^n_{(n-1)}) \cong (\pi_{(n-1)})^* \mathrm{Pic}(X^n_{(n-2)})$. Thus, in our notation, for every $I(n-1) \in \mathcal{I}$ we have

$$E_{I(n-1)} = H - \sum_{I(\rho)\in\mathcal{I}(n-2)} E_{I(\rho)}. \tag{2.1}$$

The Picard group of $X^n_{(r)}$ is

$$\mathrm{Pic}(X^n_{(r)}) = \langle H, E_{I(\rho)} : I(\rho) \in \mathcal{I}(r-1)\rangle.$$

Remark 2.2. For $r = 1, \ldots, n-1$, if F is any divisor on $X^n_{(r-1)}$, then for any $i \geq 0$, we have

$$h^i(X^n_{(r)}, (\pi_{(r)})^* F) = h^i(X^n_{(r-1)}, F).$$

It follows from Zariski connectedness Theorem and by the projection formula (see for instance [17] or [20, Lemma 1.3] for a more detailed proof.).

2.1. **The geometry of the divisor E_j in $X^n_{(r)}$.** Let $r \geq 1$. Consider the composition of blow-ups $\pi_{(r,0)} := \pi_{(r)} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{(1)} : X^n_{(r)} \to X^n_{(0)}$. By abuse of notation we will denote by E_j the pull-back $(\pi_{(r,0)})^* E_j \in \mathrm{Pic}(X^n_{(r)})$ of the exceptional divisor $E_j \in \mathrm{Pic}(X^n_{(0)})$ of the point $p_j \in \mathbb{P}^n$.

For every multi-index with $I(\rho) \in \mathcal{I}(r)_j$, let $E_{I(\rho)} \in \mathrm{Pic}(X^n_{(r)})$ be the pull-back of the exceptional divisor in $X^n_{(\rho)}$ of $L_{I(\rho)}$, and set $e_{I(\rho)|j} := E_{I(\rho)}|_{E_j}$. Moreover let h be the hyperplane class of E_j.

Lemma 2.3. *In the above notation, a basis for the Picard group of E_j is given by h and $e_{I(\rho)|j}$, for all $I(\rho) \in \mathcal{I}(r)_j$.*

In particular we have the isomorphism $E_j \cong Pic(X^{n-1}_{(r-1)})$.

The above Lemma states that the exceptional divisor $E_j \in \mathrm{Pic}(X^n_{(r)})$ is isomorphic to a blown-up $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ along linear $(\rho-1)$-cycles, $\rho \leq r$, spanned by subsets of a collection of $s-1$ general points. These $s-1$ points correspond to the lines $L_{I(1)} = \langle p_j, p_l\rangle$, for all indices $l \in \{1, \ldots, \hat{j}, \ldots, s\}$. Similarly, the linear $(\rho-1)$-cycles blown-up in E_j correspond to the linear ρ-cycles $L_{I(\rho)}$ of $\mathbb{P}^n$ satisfying the condition that $j \in I(\rho)$.

2.2. **The geometry of the divisor $E_{I(\rho)}$ in $X^n_{(r)}$.** Let $I = I(\rho) \in \mathcal{I}(r)$ be any multi-index. Notice that if $\rho = 0$, $E_{I(\rho)}$ is the exceptional divisor of a point that was already described in Section 2.1. Consider the composition of blow-ups $\pi_{(r,\rho)} := \pi_{(r)} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{(\rho+1)} : X^n_{(r)} \to X^n_{(\rho)}$. By abuse of notation we will denote by E_I the pull-back via $\pi_{(r,\rho)}$ in $X^n_{(r)}$ of the exceptional divisor $E_I \in \mathrm{Pic}(X^n_{(\rho)})$ of a linear ρ-cycle $L_I \subset \mathbb{P}^n$: $E_I \in \mathrm{Pic}(X^n_{(r)})$ is a product that we are now going to describe.

Consider first the case $\rho = r$. The Picard group of the first factor is generated by $\langle h, e_{I(t)} : I(t) \in \mathcal{I}(r-2)\rangle$, where $E_{I(t)}|_{E_I} := e_{I(t)} \boxtimes 0$ while the Picard group of the second factor is generated by the hyperplane class. This justifies the following isomorphism: $E_I \cong X^r_{(r-2)} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-r-1}$.

Assume now that $0 \leq \rho < r$. We generalize the above construction and give generators for the Picard groups of the two factors of the divisor E_I in the space $X^n_{(r)}$, that arise from the restrictions to E_I of the the generators of the Picard group of $X^n_{(r)}$. Notice first of all that the restriction $E_{I(t)}|_{E_I}$ of $X^n_{(r)}$ is zero on both factors unless one of the following containment relations is satisfied: $I \subset I(t)$ or $I(t) \subset I$. We denote by h_b and h_f the hyperplane classes of the two factors and we introduce divisors $e_{I(t)}$ on the first factor and $e_{I(t)|I}$ on the second factor according to the following intersection table:

$$\begin{aligned} H|_{E_I} &=: h_b \boxtimes 0; \\ E_{I(t)}|_{E_I} &=: e_{I(t)} \boxtimes 0, \text{ for all } I(t) \subset I,\ t \geq 0; \\ E_{I(t)}|_{E_I} &=: 0 \boxtimes e_{I(t)|I}, \text{ for all } I \subset I(t),\ t \leq r. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Notice that of $\rho = 0$, the first factor is a point and we have $h_f = h$ in the notation of Section 2.1.

Remark 2.4. If $t = \rho - 1$, and $I(t) \subset I$, i.e. $L_{I(\rho-1)} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a hyperplane of $L_I \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, we have the following equality

$$E_{I(\rho-1)}|_{E_I} = \Big(H - \sum E_{I(\tau)}\Big)|_{E_I} = \Big(h - \sum e_{I(\tau)}\Big) \boxtimes 0,$$

where the sum ranges over the multi-indicess $I(\tau) \subset I$, $I(\tau) \in \mathcal{I}(\rho-2)$. Accordingly, $e_{I(\rho-1)} = h_b - \sum e_{I(\tau)}$. A similar argument holds for divisors on the second factor, when $t = n-1$ and $I \subset I(t)$.

Lemma 2.5. *In the above notation, assume $\mathcal{I}$ is a set of multi-indices satisfying conditions $(I), (II)$ and (III). Then bases for the Picard groups of the two factors of the product E_I, for $I \in \mathcal{I}$ of length $\rho + 1$ are given respectively by*

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle h_b,\ e_{I(t)} : I(t) \subset I, I(t) \in \mathcal{I}(\rho - 2)\rangle \\ &\langle h_f,\ e_{I(t)|I(\rho)} : I \subset I(t),\ I(t) \in \mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{I}(\rho)\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

In particular

$$E_I \cong X^\rho_{(\rho-2)} \times X^{n-\rho-1}_{(r-\rho-1)}.$$

The element $e_{I(t)}$ represents the exceptional divisor in $X^\rho_{(\rho-2)}$ of a linear t-cycle and $e_{I(t)|I(\rho)}$ represents the exceptional divisor in $X^{n-\rho-1}_{(r-\rho-1)}$ of a linear cycle which is spanned by $|I(t) \setminus I(\rho)|$ points.

We now give a characterization of the normal bundle of the exceptional divisor E_I in the space $X^n_{(r)}$. To this purpose, we introduce the following divisor on $X^\rho_{(\rho-2)}$:

$$\mathrm{Cr}_\rho(h_b) = \rho h_b - \sum_{\substack{I(t)\subset I \\ I(t)\in\mathcal{I}(\rho-2)}} (\rho - t - 1)e_{I(t)}. \tag{2.3}$$

We will give a detailed cohomological description of such a divisor in Section 3.

Lemma 2.6. *In the notation of above, we have*

$$-E_I|_{E_I} = \mathrm{Cr}_\rho(h_b) \boxtimes h_f.$$

Proof. The proof follows from the computation of the conormal bundle of the first factor of E_I (see [5, Lemma 4.3] for details): $N^*_{X^\rho_{(\rho-2)}|X^n_{(r)}} = \mathcal{O}_{X^\rho_{(\rho-2)}}(\mathrm{Cr}_\rho(h_b))$. □

3. Cremona transformations of hyperplane classes

We recall the the standard Cremona transformation based at the $n+1$ coordinate points of $\mathbb{P}^n$ is the birational transformation defined by the following rational map:

$$\mathrm{Cr} : (x_0 : \cdots : x_n) \to (x_0^{-1} : \ldots, x_n^{-1}).$$

This map induces an action on the Picard group of the space blown-up at s points, $X^n_{(0)}$. Without loss of generality we can assume that the effective divisor D is based on the $n+1$ coordinate points and other general points of the projective space and we label their corresponding exceptional divisors by $E_1, \ldots, E_{n+1}, E_{n+2}, \ldots, E_s$. The Cremona action on the divisor D is described by the following rule (see e.g. [13]). Set

$$D = dH - \sum_{i=1}^{s} m_i E_i, \quad c := (n-1)d - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} m_i.$$

Then

$$\mathrm{Cr}(D) = (d+c)H - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1}(c+m_i)E_i - \sum_{i=n+2}^{s} m_i E_i.$$

In the case $n = 3$, Cr is often called the *cubo-cubic* Cremona transformation, see for instance [19] for a detailed description.

The divisors (2.3) that naturally arise in the blowing-up construction are the strict transforms in $X^n_{(n-1)}$ of the standard Cremona transformations of the pull-back H of the hyperplane class $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$, where we abbreviate the notation for the strict transfom in $X^n_{(n-1)}$ of $\mathrm{Cr}(H)$ by

$$\mathrm{Cr}_n(H) = nH - \sum_{I(\rho)\in\mathcal{I}(n-2)} (n-\rho-1)E_{I(\rho)}.$$

This is the divisor (2.3) obtained by replacing ρ by n, t by ρ, I by $\{1, \ldots, n+1\}$ and and h_b by H:

Such divisors play an important role in our approach to the classical interpolation problems. Indeed when a linear cycle $L_{I(\rho)}$ is contained with multiplicity in the base locus of a linear system $\mathcal{L}$ of degree-d hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{P}^n$ interpolating multiple points (see Proposition 4.2), its contribution to the speciality of $\mathcal{L}$, that is $h^1(D)$, is recorded in the formula for the linear expected dimension, (1.2), as a Newton binomial. The geometric interpretation of such contribution bases on the description of the cohomology groups of the normal bundle of the exceptional

divisor $E_{I(\rho)}$ of $L_{I(\rho)}$, in the notation of Section 2, which is described in Lemma 2.6. We will show in this section that negative multiples of $\mathrm{Cr}_\rho(h_b)$ produce such contributions. This computation is crucial in the study of linear obstructions, used for example in Section 4 as well as in [5, Theorem 4.6].

In this section we compute all cohomologies of any multiple of Cremona transformations of hyperplane classes. In particular we show that they have the same cohomological behavior as the same multiples of the hyperplane classes. In [14] it was proved that the number of global sections of an effective divisor in $X^n_{(0)}$ is preserved under standard Cremona transformations. In theorem 3.1 we prove that the same is true for the higher cohomology groups when the divisor is the hyperplane class.

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the set of all subsets of $\{1,\dots,n+1\}$ and notice that it satisfies conditions (I), (II) and (III) of Section 2. Recall that the canonical divisor of the blown-up projective space $X^n_{(n-1)}$ is

$$K_{X^n_{(n-1)}} = -(n+1)H + \sum_{I(\rho)\in\mathcal{I}(n-2)} (n-\rho-1)E_{I(\rho)}$$

and notice that $K_{X^n_{(n-1)}} + \mathrm{Cr}_n(H) = -H$.

Theorem 3.1. *$h^i(X^n_{(n-1)}, \mathcal{O}(a\mathrm{Cr}_n(H))) = h^i(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(a))$ for any integer a.*

In particular, $h^i(-b\mathrm{Cr}_n(H)) = 0$, for $1 \le b \le n$, $i \ge 0$.

Proof. If $a = 0$ the statement is obvious.

Assume that $a \ge 1$. It is known that (see for example [14, Theorem 3]) $h^0(a\mathrm{Cr}_n(H)) = h^0(aH)$. Moreover the effective divisor $a\mathrm{Cr}_n(H)$ on $X^n_{(n-1)}$ is not obstructed, that is $h^i(a\mathrm{Cr}_n(H)) = 0$, for all $i \ge 1$, see [5, Theorem 4.6].

Assume now that $a \le -1$ and denote $a = -b$ where b is a positive number.

Assume first of all that $b \ge n+1$. Recall that $h^i(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(a)) = 0$ for $i \ne n$ and that, by Serre duality, $h^n(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(a)) = h^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(b-n-1)) > 0$. In order to compute the cohomologies of $a\mathrm{Cr}_n(H)$, note that

$$\begin{aligned} h^i(\mathcal{O}(a\mathrm{Cr}_n(H))) &= h^{n-i}((b-1)\mathrm{Cr}_n(H) - H) \\ &= h^{n-i}([(b-1)n-1]H - (b-1)\sum_{I(\rho)\in\mathcal{I}(n-2)} (n-\rho-1)E_{I(\rho)}), \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from Serre duality on $X^n_{(n-1)}$ and the second equality is just the expanded form of $(b-1)\mathrm{Cr}_n(H) - H$. We claim its cohomologies vanish for all $i \ne n$. To show this, we notice that the divisor

$$((b-1)n-1)H - (b-1)(n-1)\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} E_i$$

in $X^n_{(0)}$ is effective and that each cycle $L_{I(\rho)}$ is contained in the base locus with multiplicity $k_{I(\rho)} = (b-1)(n-\rho-1)+\rho$, by [5, Lemma 2.1], that differs from the coefficient of $E_{I(\rho)}$ in the above expression by ρ. Hence the vanishing for all $i \ne n$ follow by [5, Theorem 4.6]. If $i = n$, notice that

$$h^n(X^n_{(n-1)}, \mathcal{O}(a\mathrm{Cr}_n(H))) = h^0(X^n_{(0)}, ((b-1)n-1)H - (b-1)\sum_{j=0}^{n+1}(n-1)E_j).$$

We compute the number of global sections by preforming a standard Cremona transformation in $\mathbb{P}^n$, which preserves that number:

$$\begin{aligned} h^n(X^n_{(n-1)}, \mathcal{O}(a\mathrm{Cr}_n(H))) &= h^0(X^n_{(0)}, (b-n-1))H + (n-1)\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} E_j) \\ &= h^0(X^n_{(0)}, (b-n-1)H). \end{aligned}$$

For negative multiples with $1 \leq b \leq n$ we prove the statement by induction on n and b. The base steps $n = 2$, $b = 1, 2$ are easily verified by means of Serre duality. Indeed, as the canonical divisor of $X^2_{(0)}$ is $K = -2H+E_1+E_2+E_3$, we have $H^i(-\mathrm{Cr}_2(H)) = H^{2-i}(-H) = 0$ and $H^i(-2\mathrm{Cr}_2(H)) = H^{2-i}(H-E_1-E_2-E_3) = 0$. Fix $n \geq 3$. Using (2.1), we compute the following equality

$$\begin{aligned} -\sum_{1\in I(n-1)} E_{I(n-1)} =& -nH + \sum_{I(\rho)\in\mathcal{I}(n-2)_1} (n-\rho)E_{I(\rho)} \\ &+ \sum_{I(\rho)\in\mathcal{I}(n-2)\setminus\mathcal{I}(n-2)_1} (n-\rho-1)E_{I(\rho)} \\ =& -\mathrm{Cr}_n(H) + E_1 + \sum_{I(\rho)\in\mathcal{I}(n-2)_1} E_{I(\rho)}. \end{aligned}$$

For $1 \leq r \leq n-1$, and $I = I(r)$ we recall from (2.2) that $E_{I(\rho)}|_{E_I} = e_{I(\rho)} \boxtimes 0$ if $I(\rho) \subset I$, $E_{I(\rho)}|_{E_I} = 0 \boxtimes *$ if $I(\rho) \not\subseteq I$, where we use $*$ to denote the appropriate divisor, as we are only interested in the first factor. Hence, the above computation and Remark 2.4 show that

$$-\sum_{1\in I(r-1)} E_{I(r-1)}|_{E_I} = \left(-\mathrm{Cr}_r(h) + e_1 + \sum_{I(\rho)\in\mathcal{I}(r-2)_1} e_{I(\rho)}\right) \boxtimes *.$$

Therefore,

$$-E_1 - \sum_{I(\rho)\in\mathcal{I}(r-1)_1} E_{I(\rho)}|_{E_I} = -\mathrm{Cr}_r(h) \boxtimes * \tag{3.1}$$

For all $0 \leq r \leq n-2$, let $\{I(r)_0, \ldots, I(r)_{s_r}\}$ be the set of all multi-indices of length $r+1$ that contain 1. Let $\prec$ be the lexicographical order on $\mathcal{I}(n-1)_1$ defined as follows: $I(r')_{j'} \prec I(r)_j$ if and only if $\rho' < \rho$ or $r' = r$ and $j' < j$.

In the space X^n_{n-1} we consider the divisors $F(r, j)$ defined by recursion starting from $F = 0$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F(0,0) =& F - E_1 \\ F(r,0) =& F(r-1, s_{r-1}) - E_{I(r)_0},\ 1 \leq r \leq n-1 \\ F(r,j) =& F(r, j-1) - E_{I(r)_j},\ 1 \leq j \leq s_r. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $F(n-1, s_{n-1}) = -\mathrm{Cr}_n(H)$. We consider the following exact sequences of sheaves, performed following the order $\prec$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to F(0,0) \to F \to F|_{E_1} \to 0, \\ 0 \to F(r,0) \to F(r-1, s_{r-1}) \to F(r-1, s_{r-1})|_{E_{I(\rho)_1}} \to 0, \quad 1 \leq r \leq n-1 \\ 0 \to F(r,j) \to F(r, j-1) \to F(r, j-1)|_{E(r)_j} \to 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq s_r. \end{aligned}$$

The divisor $-E_1$ has null cohomologies, for all $n \geq 1$. Moreover, in all sequences the restricted divisor is of the form (3.1) and has therefore vanishing cohomologies, by induction on n. Hence the restriction has null cohomologies, by Kunneth formula. This implies that $H^i(-\mathrm{Cr}_n(H)) = 0$, $i \geq 0$.

We are left to prove the vanishing for $-b\mathrm{Cr}_n(H)$, $2 \leq b \leq n$. For $b \geq 2$, we assume the statement true for $b-1$. We apply the recursive restriction procedure as above. Setting $F := -(b-1)\mathrm{Cr}_n(H)$, we get $F(n-1, s_{n-1}) = -b\mathrm{Cr}_n(H)$.

We first notice that $\mathrm{Cr}_n(H)|_{E_1} = -\mathrm{Cr}_{n-1}(h)$ on $E_1 \cong X^{n-1}_{(n-3)}$. Indeed

$$-nH+b(n-\rho-1)\sum_{I(\rho)\in\mathcal{I}(n-2)} E_{I(\rho)}|_{E_1} = -(n-1)h+(n-\rho-1)\sum_{I(\rho)\in\mathcal{I}(n-2)\setminus\mathcal{I}(1)} e_{I(\rho)|1}.$$

Therefore, the restricted divisor of the first sequence has null cohomologies by induction on n, for all $b \leq n$. Moreover, a computation similar to that preceding (3.1) shows that, for all $I = I(r)_j$, $-\mathrm{Cr}_n(H)|_{E_I} = 0 \boxtimes *$. For every pair (r, j) the restricted divisor is of the form (3.1) and has therefore vanishing cohomologies, by induction on n. This concludes the proof. □

4. Vanishing theorems for only linearly obstructed effective divisors

Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{n,d}(m_1, \ldots, m_s)$ be a non-empty linear system on $\mathbb{P}^n$. Elements of $\mathcal{L}$ are in bijection with divisors on $X^n_{(0)}$ a divisor of the following form

$$D := dH - \sum_{i=1}^{s} m_i E_i. \tag{4.1}$$

In [5, Section 5] the dimensions of all linear systems in $\mathbb{P}^n$ satisfying the bound (4.2) are computed, see also Theorem 1.3.

In this section we partially answer Question 1.1 for any divisor D in $\mathcal{L}$, namely we prove the non-speciality of its strict transform, $\tilde{D}$, via the blow-up of its linear base locus which is completely described in Proposition 4.2. In particular this shows that linear cycles are the only special effect varieties for divisors satisfying the bound (4.2).

Theorem 4.1. *Theorem 1.4 holds for divisors satisfying condition*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} m_i - nd \leq \min(n - s(d), s - n - 2), \quad 1 \leq m_i \leq d. \tag{4.2}$$

This result implies that such linear systems are linearly non-special, namely all the higher cohomology groups of the strict transform vanish.

We will first prove a base locus lemma, Proposition 4.2 that computes the exact multiplicity of containment of a linear cycle, this multiplicity contributes to the speciality of a linear system. More precisely an r-dimensional cycle in the base locus gives a contribution to the speciality at the level of the r-cohomology group of the strict transform after blowing-up all linear base cycles of dimension at most $r-1$. In particular all higher cohomologies are null after all base linear cycles are blown-up. Theorem 1.4 was proved for effective divisors with at most $n+2$ points in [5, Section 4].

4.1. **Linear base locus Lemma.** We first generalize to higher s the sharp Linear Base Locus Lemma proved in [5] for $s \leq n+2$. We must mention that the first part of the proof, Case (i), was established in [5, Proposition 2.5], but we include it here for the sake of completeness.

For all $I(r) \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}$ with $0 \leq r \leq \min(n, s) - 1$ we intriduce integers (cfr. Definition (1.1))

$$K_{I(r)} := \sum_{i \in I(r)} m_i - rd, \quad k_{I(r)} = \max(K_{I(r)}, 0)$$

and

$$\bar{r} = \bar{r}(\mathcal{L}) := \max(\rho | K_{I(\rho)} \geq 0).$$

Moreover set $\mathcal{I} = \{I(r) \in \{1, \dots, s\} : 0 \leq r \leq n-1, K_{I(r)} \geq 0\}$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{n,d}(m_1, ..., m_s)$ be a non-empty linear system. Let $I(r) \in \mathcal{I}$. The linear cycle $L_{I(r)}$ parametrized by $I(r)$ is contained with multiplicity $k_{I(r)}$ in the base locus of $\mathcal{L}$.*

In general determining the base locus of divisors is a difficult task, this proof goes back to the Infinitesimal Bertini's Theorem [8, Theroem 2.2]. The importance of this result however is that the numbers introduced in Definition 1.1, $k_{I(r)}$, have a geometric origin, namely they can be equivalently defined as the multipicity of containment of the linear cycles $L_{I(r)}$ in the base locus of the effective divisor D. This observation makes the $\mathrm{ldim}(D)$ definition independent on the coordinates of the effective cone for the blown-up projective space, i.e. is independent on the degree d and multiplicities m_i. Moreover this proves that the algebraic definition of $\tilde{D}$ as a fomal sum of divisors introduced in (4.4) coincides with the geometric description of $\tilde{D}$ as the strict transform of D after the blow-up of all its linear base locus.

Proof of Proposition 4.2. Let $I(r)$ be a multi-index such that $k_{I(r)} = K_{I(r)} \geq 0$ and $\tilde{k}_{I(r)} \geq 0$ be the multiplicity with which $L_{I(r)}$ is contained in the base locus of $\mathcal{L}$. By Bézout Theorem one has $\tilde{k}_{I(r)} \geq k_{I(r)}$, see [5, Lemma 2.1] for details. Denote by

$$R = R(\mathcal{L}, I(r)) := \max(\rho | K_{I(\rho)} \geq 0, I(r) \subseteq I(\rho)).$$

If $r = n-1 (= R)$ then the claim is true by [6, Lemma 4.4]. Next, for $r \leq n-2$ we consider separately the following cases:

(i) $r = R = \bar{r}$
(ii) $r = R < \bar{r}$
(iii) $r < R$.

Case (i). We prove the statement by backward induction on R. Precisely, given $R \leq n-2$, we assume that for every non-empty linear system $\mathcal{M}$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ such that $R(\mathcal{M}, I(r)) = R+1$ and for every multi-index $I(R+1)$ with $K_{I(R+1)} \geq 0$, the cycle $L_{I(R+1)}$ is contained in the base locus of $\mathcal{M}$ with multiplicity $K_{I(R+1)}$, and we prove the statement for $\mathcal{L}, I(r)$ with $R(\mathcal{L}, I(r)) = R$. Let $I(R) = \{i_1, \dots, i_{R+1}\}$ be a multi-index with $K_{I(R)} \geq 0$, and consider the inclusions $I(R) \subset J = \{i_1, \dots, i_{R+1}, i_{R+2}\}$ and $J \subset \bar{J} = \{i_1, \dots, i_{R+1}, i_{R+2}, \dots, i_n\}$. Because $K_J \leq 0$, then by induction the cycle L_J is not in the base locus of D. We introduce the following divisor:

$$D' := D + (-K_J) H_{\bar{J}}.$$

It is an effective divisor on $X^n_{(0)}$ and we will denote by m'_i and d' its coefficients: $d' = d - K_J$, $m'_i = m_i - K_J$ for $i \in \bar{J}$ and $m'_i = m_i$ for $i \notin \bar{J}$. By construction

$$K'_J := \sum_{i\in J} m'_i - (R+1)d' = 0.$$

Moreover $K'_{I(R)} = K_{I(R)} + (-K_J) \geq K_{I(R)} \geq 0$ so that $L_{I(R)}$ is contained in the base locus of D' with multiplicity at least $K'_{I(R)}$.

Assume that $\tilde{k}_{I(R)} \geq K_{I(R)} + 1$. The multiplicity of $L_{I(R)}$ in $\mathrm{Bs}(D')$ is

$$\tilde{k}'_{I(R)} \geq \tilde{k}_{I(R)} + (-K_J) \geq K_{(I(R))} + 1 + (-K_J) = K'_{I(R)} + 1.$$

For any point $p \in L_{I(R)}$ we compute the multiplicity $\tilde{k}'_{p,p_{R+2}}$ of the line spanned by the points p and $p_{i_{R+2}}$:

$$\tilde{k}'_{p,p_{R+2}} \geq \tilde{k}'_{I(R)} + m'_{R+2} - d' \geq K'_{I(R)} + 1 + m'_{R+2} - d' = K'_{I(R+1)} + 1 = 1.$$

This shows that the line spanned by p and $p_{i_{R+2}}$ is in the base locus of D' for any $p \in L_{I(R)}$. Letting p vary in $L_{I(R)}$ we obtain that L_J is in the base locus of D'. This gives a contradiction.

Case (ii). We know by the previous case that for all cycles $L_{I(\bar{r})}$ the multiplicity of containment is given by $k_{I(\bar{r})}$. For smaller cycles, $I(R)$, with $1 \leq r = R < \bar{r}$ and $K_{I(R)} \geq 0$ we run induction on $\bar{r} - R$ and the same argument from Case (ii) applies.

Case (iii). We assume that the statement holds for $I(R)$, with $I(r) \subseteq I(R)$ and such that $K_{I(R)} \geq 0$ and we show it for $I(r)$. Namely, assuming $\tilde{k}_{I(R)} = k_{I(R)}$, for all $I(R)$, we prove that $\tilde{k}_{I(r)} = k_{I(r)}$. Notice that $0 \leq K_{I(R)} \leq K_{I(r)}$, since $m_i \leq d$. Therefore all linear subspaces $L_{I(\rho)}$ of $L_{I(R)}$ are contained in $\mathrm{Bs}(\mathcal{L})$ with multiplicity at least $K_{I(\rho)} \geq 0$, by [5, Lemma 2.1]. In particular $L_{I(r)}$ is contained at least $K_{I(r)}$ times.

Assume now by contradiction that $L_{I(r)}$ is contained in $\mathrm{Bs}(\mathcal{L})$ with multiplicity at least $1 + K_{I(r)}$. We know that the linear cycle $L_{I(R)\setminus I(r)}$ is contained in the base locus with multiplicity at least $K_{I(R)\setminus I(r)} \geq 0$. For any point p in the cycle $L_{I(r)}$ and p' in $L_{I(R)\setminus I(r)}$ we obtain that the line spanned by p and p' is contained in the base locus with multiplicity at least $1 + K_{I(r)} + K_{I(R)\setminus I(r)} - d = 1 + K_{I(R)}$ and this is a contradiction. □

4.2. **Vanishing theorems.** In this section we prove Theorem 4.1. The proof will be by induction on $n \geq 1$ and s. The case $n = 1$ is trivial, the case, $s = n + 2$ is solved in [5, Ch. 4].

Let us first recall the standard notations and definitions. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be as in Section 4.1 and let $\mathcal{I}(r) \subset \mathcal{I}$, for all $1 \leq r \leq n-1$, be the set of multi-indices of $\mathcal{I}$ of length at most $r + 1$, as in Section 2. Notice that $\mathcal{I}$ satisfies conditions (I),(II) and (III), the third being implied by (4.2).

In the notation of the previous sections, let $X^n_{(r)}$ be the blow-up of $X^n_{(r-1)}$ along the union of the strict transforms of all r-cycles $L_{I(r)}$. The total transform of $D_{(r-1)} \subset X^n_{(r-1)}$ is

$$(\pi_{(r)})^* D_{(r-1)} = dH - \sum_{I(\rho)\in\mathcal{I}(r-1)} k_{I(\rho)} E_{I(\rho)}, \tag{4.3}$$

while the strict transform of $D_{(r-1)}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} D_{(r)} &= dH - \sum_{I(\rho)\in\mathcal{I}(r-1)} k_{I(\rho)}E_{I(\rho)} - \sum_{I(r)\in\mathcal{I}} k_{I(r)}E_{I(r)} \\ &= dH - \sum_{I(\rho)\in\mathcal{I}(r)} k_{I(\rho)}E_{I(\rho)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

For the sake of simplicity throughout this paper we will abbreviate by $H^i(X^n_{(r)}, D_{(r)})$, or by $H^i(D_{(r)})$, the cohomology group $H^i(X^n_{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_{X^n_{(r)}}(D_{(r)}))$.

4.2.1. *Induction on b.* In order to employ induction on b, we introduce the following divisors on $X^n_{(0)}$

$$D' = D + E_1 = dH - (m_1 - 1)E_1 - \sum_{i=2}^{s} m_i E_i.$$

For all $I \in \mathcal{I}_1(r)$, set $k'_I := k_I(D') = \max(K_I - 1, 0)$. We have

$$D'_{(r)} = dH - (m_1 - 1)E_1 - \sum_{i=2}^{s} m_i E_i - \sum_{I\in\mathcal{I}_1(r)} k'_I E_I - \sum_{I\in\mathcal{I}(r)\setminus\mathcal{I}(r)_1} k_I E_I.$$

Notice that the linear base locus of D' is contained in that of D'. Precisely, the cycles L_I with $I \not\subseteq \mathcal{I}(n-1)_1$ have the same multiplicity of containment in both base loci, while the cycles L_I with $I \in \mathcal{I}$ are contained with multiplicity one more in D, see proposition 4.2. Using the notation

$$\mathcal{I}(r)_1^{>} := \{I \in \mathcal{I}(r)_1 : K_I > 0\},$$

we can write

$$D'_{(r)} = D_{(r)} + \sum_{I\in\mathcal{I}(r)_1^{>}} E_I.$$

We will prove in Proposition 4.6 that the divisor $D'_{(r)} - E_1$, which by the above argument has only simple linear obstructions, has vanishing cohomologies H^i for $i \neq r+1$.

4.2.2. *Induction on n.* In order to employ induction on n we want to consider restricted divisors on the pull-backs of the exceptional divisor E_1 that also satisfy condition (4.2).

In the space $X^n_{(r)}$, $1 \leq r \leq n-1$, we will use the following exact sequence of sheaves.

$$0 \longrightarrow D'_{(r)} - E_1 \longrightarrow D'_{(r)} \longrightarrow D'_{(r)}|_{E_1} \longrightarrow 0, \tag{$A_{(r)}$}$$

of which now we give a detailed description. By abuse of notation, write E_1 for the pull-back $\pi^*_{(r,0)}E_1$ in $X^n_{(1)}$ of the exceptional divisor in $X^n_{(0)}$ of the point p_1. Recall from Section 2.1 that $E_1 \cong X^{n-1}_{(r-1)}$ has Picard group generated by the hyperplane class h and by the exceptional classes $e_{I(\rho)|1} = E_{I(\rho)}|_{E_1}$, for $I(\rho) \in \mathcal{I}(r)$. The divisor $D'_{(r)}$ restricts to E_1 as

$$D'_{(r)}|_{E_1} = (m_1 - 1)h - \sum_{I\in\mathcal{I}(r)_1} k'_I e_{I|1},$$

where $k'_I := \max(K_I - 1, 0)$.

Lemma 4.3. *If D satisfies (4.2), also D' and $D'_{(1)}|_{E_1}$ do.*

Even though the same argument appeared in the proof of [5, Lemma 5.7], we include it here for the sake of completeness.

Proof. The statement is obvious for D'.

In order to prove it for $D'_{(1)}|_{E_1}$, let us assume, without loss of generality, that $d \geq m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \cdots \geq m_s \geq 1$. Set $\bar{s} := \#\{I \in \mathcal{I}_1(1) : k'_I > 0\}$ and consider the divisor $D'_{(1)}|_{E_1} = (m_1 - 1)h - \sum_{i=2}^{\bar{s}} k'_{1i} e_{1i|1}$ in $X^{n-1}_{(0)}$. Notice that $k'_{1i} = m_1 + m_i - d - 1 \leq m_1 - 1$, as $m_i \leq d$, for all $i = 2, \ldots, \bar{s}$. If $\bar{s} \leq n-1$ the first inequality of (4.2) is trivially satisfied. When $\bar{s} \geq n$, we conclude by computing

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=2}^{\bar{s}} k'_{1i} &= \sum_{i=2}^{\bar{s}} m_i + \bar{s}(m_1 - d - 1)\\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{s} m_i - m_1 - (s - \bar{s} - 1) + \bar{s}(m_1 - d - 1)\\ &\leq nd + s - n - m_1 - (s-1) + \bar{s}(m_1 - d)\\ &\leq (n-1)(m_1 - 1).\end{aligned}$$

□

Let us denote by

$$l(D, r) := \sum_{I(\rho) \in \mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{I}(r-1)} (-1)^{\rho - r - 1} \binom{n + k_{I(\rho)} - \rho - 1}{n} \tag{4.5}$$

the number that appears in Theorem 1.4 part (2).

Lemma 4.4. *In the above notation, the following equality holds:*

$$l(D, r) = l(D', r) + l(D'_{(1)}|_{E_1}, r - 1).$$

Proof. It follows from the equality of Newton binomials $\binom{a}{b} = \binom{a-1}{b} + \binom{a-1}{b-1}$. □

Proposition 4.5. *Assume that conditions (4.2) are satisfied and fix $1 \leq r \leq n-1$. If $h^1(D'_{(r)}) = 0$, then the following sequence of global sections is exact*

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(D'_{(r)} - E_1) \longrightarrow H^0(D'_{(r)}) \longrightarrow H^0(D'_{(r)}|_{E_1}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. It is enough to show the statement for $r = 1$, because the number of global sections of $D_{(1)}$ and $D'_{(1)}$ (and of $D_{(1)}|_{E_1}$) are preserved when taking strict transforms in the spaces $X^n_{(r)}$ ($E_1 \cong X^{n-1}_{(r-1)}$ resp.).

From the long exact sequence in cohomology associated with the short exact sequence ($A_{(r)}$) and the fact that, by assumption, $h^1(D'_{(1)}) = 0$, we obtain the inequality $h^0(D'_{(1)} - E_1) \leq h^0(D'_{(1)}) - h^0(D'_{(1)}|_{E_1})$. Moreover the inclusion of linear systems $|D_{(1)}| \subseteq |D'_{(1)} - E_1|$ implies $h^0(D_{(1)}) \leq h^0(D'_{(1)} - E_1)$.

One can verify that $\operatorname{ldim}(D) = \operatorname{ldim}(D') - \operatorname{ldim}(D'_{(1)}|_{E_1}) - 1$. Furthermore, by Theorem 1.3 all three systems are linearly non-special, as they satisfy (4.2) by Lemma 4.3. Hence $h^0(D) = h^0(D') - h^0(D'_{(1)}|_{E_1})$. □

4.2.3. *The cohomologies of the kernel of* $(A_{(r)})$. We have anticipated that the kernel $D'_{(r)} - E_1$ has only simple linear obstructions of dimension up to r.

We now compute all cohomology groups of the divisors in sequence $(A_{(r)})$, and in particular we obtain that all cohomology groups but the $(r+1)$st vanish.

Proposition 4.6. *Assume that conditions* (4.2) *are satisfied and fix* $1 \leq r \leq n-1$. *Assume that Theorem 1.4 (2) holds for* D' *and* $D'_{(1)}|_{E_1}$. *Then Theorem 1.4 part (2) holds for* $D'_{(r)} - E_1$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on b and n. If $n = 1$ the statement is trivially true, as well as if $b = -nd$, i.e. if $m_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, s$.

For the pair (n, b), with $n \geq 2$, and $m_1 \geq 1$, we will assume the statement to be true for $(n-1, b)$ and $(n, b-1)$.

For r such that $1 \leq r \leq \min(\bar{r}, n-1)$, in the space $X^n_{(r)}$ we consider the sequence $(A_{(r)})$. By induction we have

- $h^i(D'_{(r)}|_{E_1}) = 0$, for all $i \neq 0, r$ and $h^r(D'_{(r)}|_{E_1}) = l(D'_{(r)}|_{E_1}, r-1)$;
- $h^{i+1}(D'_{(1)}) = 0$, for all $i \neq 0, r$ and $h^{r+1}(D'_{(r)}) = l(D', r)$.

Therefore the long exact sequence in cohomology associated with $(A_{(r)})$ splits into the fundamental sequences

$$0 \to H^0(D'_{(r)} - E_1) \to H^0(D'_{(r)}) \to H^0(D'_{(r)}|_{E_1}) \to H^1(D'_{(r)} - E_1) \to 0 \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$0 \to H^r(D'_{(r)}|_{E_1}) \to H^{r+1}(D'_{(r)} - E_s) \to H^{r+1}(D'_{(r)}) \to 0. \tag{4.7}$$

Using Proposition 4.5, we obtain $h^1(D'_{(r)} - E_1) = 0$ from sequence (4.6). Moreover using (4.7) we obtain

$$h^{r+1}(D'_{(r)} - E_1) = h^r(D'_{(r)}|_{E_1}) + h^{r+1}(D'_{(r)}) = l(D, r),$$

by Lemma 4.4. In particular $h^i(D'_{(r)} - E_1) = 0$, for all $i \neq 0, r+1$. □

4.2.4. *The cohomology of* $D_{(r)}$. We now show that from the above results we can deduce the cohomologies of $D_{(r)}$ for all $r \leq n-1$.

Proposition 4.7. *Assume* D *is effective. For all* $0 \leq r \leq n-1$ *and* $i \geq 0$ *we have*

$$h^i(D'_{(r)} - E_1) = h^i(D_{(r)}).$$

Proof. If $r = 0$ the statement is obvious. Fix $1 \leq \rho \leq r \leq n-1$. We use the notation $\mathcal{I}(\rho)_1^{>} = \{I \in \mathcal{I}(\rho)_1 : K_I > 0\}$ as in Section 4.2.1.

Let $\prec$ be the total order on $\mathcal{I}(\rho)_1^{>}$ inherited by the lex order on $\mathcal{I}_1$ that was introduced in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

In the space $X^n_{(r)}$, for every pair (ρ, j), $1 \leq \rho \leq r$ and $0 \leq j \leq s_\rho$, for which $I(\rho)_j \in \mathcal{I}(\rho)_1^{>}$, we consider the divisor

$$F(r, \rho, j) = D'_{(r)} - E_1 - \sum_{\substack{I(\rho')_{j'} \prec I(\rho)_j \\ I(\rho')_{j'} \in \mathcal{I}(\rho)_1^{>}}} E_{I(\rho')_{j'}} - E_{I(\rho)_j} \tag{4.8}$$

that we recursively define, using the same idea as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, by setting $F = D'_{(r)}$ and by the following rule:

$$\begin{aligned} F(\rho,0) =& F(\rho-1,s_{\rho-1}) - E_{I(\rho)_j},\ 0 \le \rho \le r;\\ F(\rho,j) =& F(\rho,j-1) - E_{I(\rho)_1},\ 1 \le j \le s_\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the last divisor is $F(r,s_r) = D_{(r)}$.

We claim that, for all pair (ρ,j) such that $I(\rho)_j \in \mathcal{I}(\rho)_1^{>}$, the corresponding exact sequence restricts, on the first factor of $E_{I(\rho)_j}$, to $-\mathrm{Cr}_\rho(h)$, that has vanishing cohomologies by Theorem 3.1. Hence, by Kunneth formula, we conclude that the restriction itself has null cohomologies.

We show the claim by computing the first factor of the restriction $F(\rho,j-1)|_{E_{I(\rho)_j}}$, whose full expansion is

$$\begin{aligned} F(\rho,j-1) = dH - \sum_{I(t)\in\mathcal{I}(\rho-1)} k_{I(t)}E_{I(t)} - \sum_{1\le l\le j-1} k_{I(\rho)_l}E_{I(\rho)_l} - (k_{I(\rho)_j}-1)E_{I(\rho)_j}\\ - \sum_{j<l\le s_\rho} (k_{I(\rho)_l}-1)E_{I(\rho)_l} - \sum_{I(t)\in\mathcal{I}\setminus\mathcal{I}(\rho)} (k_{I(t)}-1)E_{I(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that, since $m_i \le d$, for all $i = 1,\dots,s$, then if $k_I > 0$, for some $I = I(\rho)$, then $k_J > 0$, for all $J \subset I$. Therefore one computes that $\big(F(\rho,j-1) + (k_{I(\rho)_j}-1)E_{I(\rho)_j}\big)|_{E_{I(\rho)_j}}$ restricts to the following divisor on the first factor of $E_{I(\rho)_j} \cong X^{\rho}_{(\rho-1)}$

$$dh - \sum_{\substack{I(t)\subset I(\rho)_j\\ I(t)\in\mathcal{I}(\rho-1)}} k_{I(t)}e_{I(t)} = -k_{I(\rho)_j}\mathrm{Cr}_\rho(h).$$

We leave the details to the reader. Moreover, the self-intersection $E_{I(\rho)_j}|_{E(\rho)_j}$ is $-\mathrm{Cr}_\rho(h)$ on the first factor, see Lemma 2.6 and this concludes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We first prove part (2), part (1) follows. The proof is by induction on n and b. If $n = 1$ the statement is trivially true, as well as if $b = -nd$, i.e. if $m_i = 0$ for all $i = 1,\dots,s$. Fix the pair (n,b) and assume by induction that the statement is true for all divisor in the $(n-1)$-dimensional space satisfying the bound (4.2), and for all divisors with $(n,b-1)$. In particular the statement is true for the divisors $D' = D + E_1$ and $D'_{(1)}|_{E_1}$. For all $1 \le r \le n-1$, the statement follows from Propositions 4.5, 4.6 and 4.7. □

5. Vanishing theorems for non-effective toric divisors

We study strict transforms in $X_{(r)}$ of non-effective divisors

$$D = dH - \sum_{i=1}^{s} m_i E_i$$

with $m_i \le d+1$ and $s \le n+1$, $d, m_i \ge 0$ in $\mathrm{N}^1(X)$.

Recall the notation $\mathcal{I} = \{I(r) : K_{I(r)} \ge 0, r \le n-1\}$. Set $\bar r$ the maximal dimension of the linear cycles $I(r)$ with positive $K_{I(r)}$.

The strict transform after blowing-up the whole linear base locus is denoted by $\tilde D = D_{(\bar r)}$. For a non-effective divisor D we will call *strict transform* the divisor $D_{(r)}$ (and $\tilde D = D_{(\bar r)}$) defined as the formal sum of divisors given by (4.4). Moreover,

for a non-effective divisor whenever $k_{I(r)} \geq 1$ by abuse of notation we will say that the cycle $L_{I(r)}$ is in the *base locus* of D.

We will prove the following formula for the dimension of the $(r+1)$st cohomology group of $D_{(r)}$ in terms of the speciality of $\tilde{D}$. Recall from (4.5) that $l(D, r+1)$ denotes the alternating sum of the contributions to the speciality of D given by the multiple base cycles of dimension at least $r+1$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let D in $X^n_{(0)}$ be an effective divisor interpolating an arbitrary number of points or a non-effective divisor with $m_i \leq d+1$ and $\mathcal{I}$ satisfying conditions (I) and (III) from Section 2. The following holds.*

(1) For any $0 \leq r \leq n-1$ we have

$$h^{r+1}(D_{(r)}) = l(D, r+1) + \sum_{\rho=r+1}^{n} (-1)^{\rho-r-1} h^\rho(\tilde{D}).$$

Moreover if $h^i(\tilde{D}) = 0$, for all $i \geq 1$, then $h^i(D_{(r)}) = 0$ for all $i \neq r+1$.

(2) For any integer $l_{I(r)}$ with $0 \leq l_{I(r)} \leq \min(r, k_{I(r)})$ we have

$$h^i(D_{(r)}) = h^i(D_{(r)} + \sum_{I(r)} l_{I(r)} E_{I(r)}), \qquad \text{for } i \geq 0.$$

Observe first that for $r = -1$ the binomial sum $l(D, 0)$ defined by (4.5) becomes $\operatorname{ldim}(D)$, the linear virtual dimension of D introduced in Definition 1.2. Moreover, setting $D_{(-1)} := D_{(0)} = D$ for $r = -1$ the theorem reads

Corollary 5.2. *For any D in $X^n_{(0)}$ effective divisor or non-effective divisor with $m_i \leq d+1$ and $\mathcal{I}$ satisfying conditions (I) and (III) from Section 2, then*

$$h^0(D) = \operatorname{ldim}(D) + \sum_{\rho=1}^{n} (-1)^\rho h^\rho(\tilde{D})$$

In particular, Theorem 5.1 shows that for any effective divisors every r-dimensional linear cycle $L_{I(r)}$ for which $k_{I(r)} \geq 1$ gives a contribution of $(-1)^{r+1}\binom{n+k_{I(r)}-r-1}{n}$ in the formula for $\operatorname{ldim}(D)$. Moreover part (2) shows that a linear r-cycle which is contained in the base locus of D with multiplicity at most r does not contribute to the speciality of D. For the effective and non-effective divisors the main theorems proved in this paper, namely theorems 5.3, 6.1, Corollary 6.2 as well as [5, Theorem 4.6], show that for divisors for which the sum of the multiplicities is within a certain bound, $h^r(D_{(r+1)})$ is completely described by those contributions for any $-1 \leq r \leq n-1$. This means that $D_{(r)}$ is only linearly obstructed by linear cycles of dimension higher than r, and in particular $\tilde{D}$ or $D_{(\bar{r})}$, where $\bar{r}$ is the maximal dimension of the linear cycles of the base locus of D, is not obstructed at all, since all linear cycles of the base locus of D have been removed. Let us define

$$b := b(D) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} m_i - nd.$$

Theorem 5.3. *If D is any toric divisor with $m_i \leq d+1$ then the following statements hold.*

(1) $h^0(D) = \operatorname{ldim}(D)$ and divisors $\tilde{D}$ satisfy $h^n(\tilde{D}) = \binom{b-1}{n}$ and $h^i(\tilde{D}) = 0$ for every $0 \leq i \leq n-1$.

(2) Theorem 1.4 part (2) *holds, for every* $1 \leq r \leq n-1$.

We remark that for effective toric divisors, i.e. $b \geq 0$ and $m_i \leq d$, Theorem 5.3 is just a particular case of Theorem 1.4. For non-effective divisors D, unlike Theorem 1.4, r runs from -1 and reaches n. Moreover, if $1 \leq b \leq n$ or $b \geq 0$ and $m_1 = d+1$ then Theorem 5.3 part (1) gives the vanishing theorems of $\tilde{D}$, see also Section 5.1. However for $b \geq n+1$ the statement is new and these results together give a complete cohomological classification of all strict transforms of toric divisors with $m_i \leq d+1$.

As far as the authors know vanishing theorems for empty divisors are not yet established. In Section 7 we will show some instances where vanishing theorems for non-effective divisors are used in order to describe effective divisors, together with their strict transforms, that are not only linearly obstructed or that interpolate points in special positions.

5.1. **Non-effective chambers.** The following inequalities define chambers of $\mathrm{N}^1(X) \setminus \mathrm{Eff}(X)$ for which $\tilde{D}$ has vanishing theorems.

$$s \leq n+1: \quad 1 \leq b \leq n, \quad m_j \leq d+1; \tag{5.1a}$$

$$s \leq n+1: \quad b \leq 0, \quad m_1 = d+1,\ m_j \leq d+1. \tag{5.1b}$$

Notice that under the assumptions (5.1) we have $K_{I(n-1)} \leq n-1$, for all $I(n-1) \in \mathcal{I}$. Therefore all contributions of hyperplanes parametrized by multi-indices $I(n-1)$ that are contained with multiplicity $k_{I(n-1)}$ are null in formula (1.2). Therefore, also see Corollary 6.5, one has

$$\chi(\tilde{D}) = \mathrm{ldim}(D) \geq 0.$$

But if we allow $b = b(D) > n$, then the above equality is no more satisfied. More precisely (cfr. Theorem 5.3 (1)) for such divisors we have $\mathrm{ldim}(D) = 0$, while

$$\chi(\tilde{D}) = (-1)^n \binom{b-1}{n},$$

with $h^i(\tilde{D}) = 0$ for all $i < n$ and $h^n(\tilde{D}) = \binom{b-1}{n}$. We remark that $\chi(\tilde{D}) \neq \mathrm{ldim}(D)$ when $b \geq n+1$.

If one moves further away from the effective cone, by choosing for instance $m_1 = d+2$ or higher, then the strict transform after blowing-up all linear subspaces contained in the base locus may still be linearly obstructed. We illustrate some instances where this happens.

Example 5.4. *Let* $D = 3H - 5E_1 - mE_2$ *in* $X^3_{(0)}$ *be a divisor with* $h^0(D) = 0$.
If $m = 3$ *we have* $\chi(D_{(1)}) = -5$. *Hence* $h^1(D_{(1)}) \geq 5$.
If $m = 4$ *we have* $\chi(D_{(1)}) = 0$. *Is* $h^1(D_{(1)}) = h^2(D_{(1)}) = 0$*?*
If $m = 5$ *we have* $\chi(D_{(1)}) = 6$. *Hence* $h^2(D_{(1)}) \geq 6$.

5.2. **Case** $r \leq n-2$**.** In this section we prove Theorem 5.3 for divisors D satisfying conditions (5.1) and for $1 \leq r \leq n-2$, by induction on n and b.

Remark 5.5. Since $m_i \leq d+1$, the divisor $D'_{(1)}|_{E_1}$ in $E_1 \cong X^{n-1}_{(0)}$ has $k'_{1i} := \max(K_{1i}-1, 0) \leq m_1$, for all $2 \leq j \leq s$, namely the multiplicities of the points $e_{1i|1}$ do not exceed the degree $m_1 - 1$ by more than one.

We now prove that if D satisfies conditions (5.1), then also $D'_{(1)}|_{E_1}$ does.

Lemma 5.6. *Assume D satisfies (5.1). Then $b(D'_{(1)}|_{E_1}) = b(D') = b-1$.*

Proof. Assume first that $m_1 = d+1$. Notice that $k'_{1i} = m_1 + m_i - d - 1 = m_i \geq 1$, for all $1 \leq i \leq s$. Therefore the restricted divisor is of the form

$$dh - \sum_{i=2}^{s} m_i e_{1i|1}$$

and one computes $b(D'|_{E_1}) = \sum_{i=2}^{s} m_1 - (n-1)d = \sum_{i=1}^{s} m_i - nd - m_1 + d = b-1$.

Assume that we are in the case (5.1a) and moreover $m_i \leq d$, for all $1 \leq i \leq s$. Fix an index $i \geq 2$ and write $b-1 = \sum_{j=2,\ j\neq i}^{s} m_j - (n-1)d + (m_1 + m_i - d - 1)$. As $b \geq 1$, $k'_{1i} = m_1 + m_i - d - 1 \geq 0$. Therefore the divisor $D'|_{E_1}$ is of the form

$$(m_1 - 1)h - \sum_{i=2}^{s} k'_{1i} e_{1i|1},$$

with possibly some of the k'_{1i} being null, and one computes $b(D'_{E_1}) = \sum_{i=2}^{s}(m_1 + m_i - d - 1) - (n-1)(m_1 - 1) = b-1$. □

It is clear that the map

$$H^0(D'_{(r)}) \to H^0(D'_{(r)}|_{E_1})$$

between global sections is injective, as the kernel has no non-zero sections. We prove in lemma 5.7 that it is in fact an isomorphism.

Proposition 5.7. *Assume D satisfies (5.1). Then $h^0(D') = h^0(D'_{(1)}|_{E_1})$.*

Proof. We first consider the case (5.1a) with $m_i \leq d$. Notice that $D'_{(1)}|_{E_1}$ has base points with multiplicity bounded by the degree, that is $k'_{1i} \leq m_1 - 1$, for all $2 \leq i \leq s$. If $2 \leq b \leq n$, then, using Lemma 5.6, we get that $b(D') = b(D'_{(1)}|_{E_1}) \geq 1$, therefore both divisors D' and $D'_{(1)}|_{E_1}$ have no global sections. If $b = 1$, then, using Lemma 5.6, we get that $b(D') = b(D'_{(1)}|_{E_1}) = 0$, so the two divisors are effective. Using Proposition 5.12, we obtain that they both have only one non-zero global section, namely $h^0 = 1$.

We now consider the case in which $m_1 = d+1$ and one of the following conditions is satisfied: (5.1a) or (5.1b). Notice that $k'_{1i} = m_i$, for $2 \leq i \leq s$. If also $m_2 = d+1$, both D' and $D'_{(1)}|_{E_1}$ fall in the same group of conditions. Indeed D' has point, E_2, with multiplicity higher than the degree, that is $m_2 = d+1$ and $D'_{(1)}|_{E_1}$ has a point, $e_{12|1}$, with multiplicity higher than the degree, that is $k'_{12} = d+1$. Moreover one can easily check, using Lemma 5.6, that the condition on $b(D')$ and $b(D'_{(1)}|_{E_1})$ is also satisfied. Therefore both global sections are null. If, instead, $m_i \leq d$, for all $2 \leq i \leq s$, then both D' and $D'_{(1)}|_{E_1}$ have points of multiplicity bounded by the degree. By the trivial observation that D' has a point of multiplicity d and $s-1$ points of multiplicity respectively $m_2, \ldots, m_s$ and $D'_{(1)}|_{E_1}$ has $s-1$ points of multiplicity respectively $m_2, \ldots, m_s$, we conclude that they have the same number of sections, see for instance [5, Lemma 5.1]. □

Proposition 5.8. *Assume that conditions (5.1) are satisfied and fix $1 \leq r \leq n-2$. Assume that Theorem 1.4 holds for D' and $D'_{(1)}|_{E_1}$. Then Theorem 1.4 holds for $D_{(r)}$.*

Corollary 5.9. *Theorem 5.3 part (2) holds if $1 \leq r \leq n-2$.*

In order to prove Proposition 5.8, we repeat the restriction procedure as in the in the proofs of Proposition 4.6 and 4.7.

Because of the particular configuration of linear cycles in the base locus dictated by the fact that some multiplicity m_i can be $d+1$, the set $\mathcal{I}$ of multi-index sets with $K_I > 0$ may not satisfy condition (II) of Section 2. However, the blow-up construction still applies to this case, the only difference is in the normal bundle of divisors E_I, whose first factor may differ from $-\mathrm{Cr}_r(h)$. More precisely, when $k_{I(r)} = K_{I(r)} \geq 1$ and $K_{I(\rho)} < 0$, with $I(\rho) \subset I(r)$, then the exceptional divisor $e_{I(\rho)}$ will not appear in the restriction to $E_{I(r)}$. Notice that if $\rho = r-1$ and $K_{I(r)} \geq 1$, then $k_{I(\rho)} = K_{I(\rho)} \geq 0$, so the above situation does not occur. In the proof of Proposition 5.12 we show that these twisted divisors will appear as normal bundles of divisors $E_{I(r)}$ only if $K_{I(r)} \leq r$.

However we can easily prove now that the divisor one obtains, which is of the form (5.2) has vanishing cohomology groups, so do the restricted divisors in the sequences that we will use in the proof of Proposition 5.8. Furthermore it will follow from the proof of Theorem 5.3 in a more general setting, that every time they appear the twisted divisors carry the same cohomological information as the Cremona divisors that were computed in Theorem 3.1.

Let $\check{\mathcal{I}} \subseteq \mathcal{I}(n-2)$ be any subset of the set of all index sets of length at most $n-1$. Define

$$\mathrm{Cr}_n(H)(\check{\mathcal{I}}) := \mathrm{Cr}_n(H) + \sum_{I \in \check{\mathcal{I}}} (n - \#I) E_I. \tag{5.2}$$

Proposition 5.10. *The divisors $-\mathrm{Cr}_n(H)(\check{\mathcal{I}})$ has vanishing cohomology groups, $H^i(-\mathrm{Cr}_n(H)(\check{\mathcal{I}})) = 0$, for all $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. It simply follows from Serre duality in the space subsequently blown-up along the cycles parametrized by $\mathcal{I} \setminus \check{\mathcal{I}}$, ordered by increasing dimension. The ith cohomology group of $-\mathrm{Cr}_n(H)(\check{\mathcal{I}})$ has the same dimension as the $(n-i)$th cohomology group of $-H$, that is null for all $0 \leq i \leq n$. □

Proof of Proposition 5.8. We prove the statement in two steps. Following the idea of the previous section, we first show that the statement holds for $D'_{(r)} - E_1$ and then that $h^i(D_{(r)}) = h^i(D'_{(r)} - E_1)$, for all $i \geq 0$.

To prove the first part, we consider the sequences in cohomology associated with the short exact sequence $(A_{(r)})$, as in the proof of Proposition 4.5. Since $h^0(D'_{(r)} - E_1) = 0$, using (4.6), Proposition 5.7 implies that $h^1(D'_{(r)}|_{E_1}) = 0$. Moreover, we can compute the other cohomologies of $D'_{(r)} - E_1$ exploiting those of $D'_{(r)}$ and $D'_{(r)}|_{E_1}$, using (4.7).

To prove the second part, we argue as in the proof of Proposition 4.7. If $r = 0$ the statement is obvious. Fix $1 \leq \rho \leq r \leq n-2$. Recall from Section 4.2.1 the definition $\mathcal{I}(\rho)_1^{>}$ and denote by

$$\mathcal{I}(\rho)_I^{\leq} = \{J \in \mathcal{I}(\rho)_1 | K_J \leq 0, J \subset I\}$$

the set of multi-indices contained in I that parametrize cycles through p_1 that are not in the base locus of D.

In the space $X^n_{(r)}$, for every pair (ρ, j), $1 \leq \rho \leq r$ and $0 \leq j \leq s_\rho$, for which $I(\rho)_j \in \mathcal{I}(\rho)_1^{>}$, we consider the divisor $F(r, \rho, j)$ defined in (4.8). For all pair (ρ, j) such that $I(\rho)_j \in \mathcal{I}(\rho)_1^{>}$, the corresponding exact sequence restricts, on the

first factor of $E_{I(\rho)_j}$, to $-\mathrm{Cr}_\rho(h)(\mathcal{I}(\rho)^{\leq}_{\hat{I(\rho)}_j})$, that has vanishing cohomologies by Proposition 5.10. Then, by Kunneth formula, we conclude that the restriction itself has null cohomologies.

Notice that if $m_i \leq d$, for all $i = 1, \dots, s$, then if $k_I > 0$, for some $I = I(\rho)$, then $k_J > 0$, for all $J \subset I$. In particular $\mathcal{I}(\rho)^{\leq}_I = \emptyset$. If, instead, some of the m_i equals $d+1$, then it is possible that $k_I > 0$, for $I = I(\rho)$, but $K_J \leq 0$, for some $J \subset I$. In the full expansion of the first factor of the restriction $F(\rho, j-1)|_{E_{I(\rho)_j}}$ computed in the proof of Proposition 4.7, notice that if $K_{I(t)} < 0$, then $k_{I(t)} = 0$ by definition so that the term $E_{I(t)}$ does not appear. Only the terms corresponding to index sets $I(t) \in \mathcal{I}(\rho)^{>}_1$ appear. Moreover, if $k_{I(\rho)} \geq 1$, then $k_{I(\rho)_j \setminus \{l\}} \geq 0$, being $m_l \leq d+1$. Therefore one can compute that $\big(F(\rho, j-1) + (k_{I(\rho)_j} - 1)E_{I(\rho)_j}\big)|_{E_{I(\rho)_j}}$ restricts to $-k_{I(\rho)_j}\mathrm{Cr}_\rho(h)(\mathcal{I}(\rho)^{<}_{\hat{I(\rho)}_j})$ on the first factor of $E_{I(\rho)_j}$, which is isomorphic to $X^\rho_{(\rho-1)}$. Moreover, the self-intersection $E_{I(\rho)_j}|_{E(\rho)_j}$ is $-\mathrm{Cr}_\rho(h)(\mathcal{I}(\rho)^{<}_{\hat{I(\rho)}_j})$ and this concludes the proof. □

Remark 5.11. For any divisor D as in Proposition 5.8 we can furthermore assume $m_s = m_{s+1} = \ldots = m_l = 0$ for an arbitrary collection of $l - s + 1$ extra points in general position, denoting by $\ddot{D}$ the corresponding divisor. We will say in this case that D is the *support divisor* for $\ddot{D}$. Denote by $\ddot{\mathcal{I}}$ the set of multi-indices containing at least one of these extra zero points. If $\ddot{I} \in \ddot{\mathcal{I}}$ is a subset with $2 \leq |\ddot{I}| \leq n$ then $K_{\ddot{I}} \leq |\ddot{I}| - 1$. The corresponding strict transform for $\ddot{D}$ is

$$\tilde{\ddot{D}} := D_{(n-2)} - \sum_{k_{\ddot{I}} > 0} k_{\ddot{I}} E_{\ddot{I}}.$$

To construct $\tilde{\ddot{D}}$ by restriction sequences we remove from $\tilde{D}$ the "extra" base locus, namely the one formed by those cycles $E_{\ddot{I}}$ with $\ddot{I} \in \ddot{\mathcal{I}}$ of dimension at most $n-1$. In order to achieve this, we will restrict to all cycles $E_{\ddot{I}}$ (containing at least one of the $l - s + 1$ zero points) with positive $K_{\ddot{I}}$, starting with $|\ddot{I}| = 2$ and then gradually increasing the cardinality of $|\ddot{I}|$ by 1. Because $K_{\ddot{I}} \leq |\ddot{I}| - 1$, for all $\ddot{I} \in \ddot{\mathcal{I}}$, knowing the vanishing theorems of $D_{(n-2)}$, for divisors with $s \leq n-1$ points, and applying Theorem 5.3 we obtain inductively the vanishing theorems of the strict transform for $\tilde{\ddot{D}}$. We therefore conclude that for all $0 \leq i \leq n$ we have

$$H^i(\tilde{\ddot{D}}) = H^i(\ddot{D}_{(n-1)}) = H^i(\ddot{D}_{(n-2)}) = H^i(D_{(n-2)}) = 0.$$

5.3. **Case $r = n-1$.** In this section we conclude the proof of Theorem 5.3.

5.3.1. *Strict transforms for toric divisors.* We first give a description of the strict transforms $\tilde{D} = D_{(n-1)}$ of toric divisors D containing hyperplanes H_I with positive k_I.

Proposition 5.12. *Let D be a divisor with $s = n+1$, $d \geq 1$, $0 \leq m_i \leq d+1$.*

(1) If $0 \leq m_i \leq d$ and $b = 0$ then $D_{(n-1)} = 0$.

(2) If either $b \geq n-1$ or $m_i \leq d$ for all i and $b \geq 1$, then $D_{(n-1)} = -b\mathrm{Cr}_n(H)$.

Proof. In the first case, if $s = n$ then D is a cone $m_i = d$, for all d. The strict transform is obviously $D_{(n-1)} = 0$.

If $s = n+1$ with $b = 0$ and $m_j \leq d$, for all j, then all hyperplanes spanned by sets of n points are contained in the base locus of D with (exact) multiplicity $k_{1\ldots,\check{j},\ldots,n+1} = \sum_{i=1,\ i\neq j}^{n+1} m_i - (n-1)d = d - m_j \geq 0$.

If $s = n+1$ and $m_j \leq d+1$, for all j, the assumption $b \geq 1$ implies that all hyperplanes spanned by sets of n points are contained in the base locus of D with (exact) multiplicity $k_{1\dots,\hat{j},\dots,n+1} = \sum_{i=1,\ \neq j}^{n+1} m_i - (n-1)d = b + d - m_j \geq 0$.

Moreover if $m_i \leq d$ and $k_{I(r)} \geq 0$ the for any subset $I(\rho) \subset I(r)$ we have $k_{I(\rho)} \geq 0$. The same holds for $m_i \leq d+1$ and $b \geq n-1$. Therefore, in both the above cases, the strict transform $D_{(n-1)}$ of D is

$$dH - \sum_{I(\rho)\in\mathcal{I}(n-2)} k_{I(\rho)}E_{I(\rho)} - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} k_{I(n-1)}E_{I(n-1)},$$

where $E_{I(n-1)}$ is the pull-back in $X^n_{(n-1)}$ of the hyperplane of $\mathbb{P}^n$ passing through the n points parametrized by $I(n-1)$, see (2.1). One can verify that the coefficients of the hyperplane class H and the coefficient of the exceptional divisors $E_{I(\rho)}$ in the expression for $D_{(n-1)}$ are respectively

$$d - \sum_i (b - m_i + d) = -nb$$

$$k_{I(\rho)} - \sum_{i\notin I(\rho)} (b - m_i + d) = -(n-\rho-1)b.$$

This concludes the proof. □

Remark 5.13. In particular Proposition 5.12 proves the effective cone of $X^n_{(0)}$, the space blow-up at $s \leq n+1$ points.

In was proved in Theorem 3.1 that the divisors $-b\mathrm{Cr}_n(H)$ have all cohomology groups vanishings, when $1 \leq b \leq n$. From this we obtain Riemann-Roch formulas for divisors on $X^n_{(n-1)}$, $s \leq n+1$. This will be proved in Corollary 6.5.

We now complete the cohomology description of toric divisors with multiplicities bounded by $d+1$ (i.e. all toric divisors for which the notion ldim is well-defined), by giving all cohomology groups of their strict transforms $\tilde{D} = D_{(n-1)}$.

We first remark that if D is an effective toric divisor (then $m_i \leq d$ and $b(D) \leq 0$), it is $h^n(\tilde{D}) = 0$. In this case is obvious that Theorem 5.3 (1) is just a particular case of [5, Theorem 4.6]. However for the all non-effective toric divisors with $m_i \leq d+1$ this result is new. Theorem 5.3 (1) suggests that the "virtual" n-dimensional cycle should be considered in the dimension count. More precisely this virtual cycle is detected by the $n-$th cohomology group, its contribution depends on its virtual multiplicity $k_{1,\dots,n+1}$ that becomes nothing else than $b(D)$. Moreover for non-effective toric divisors with $m_1 = d+1$ or $b(D) \leq 0$, Theorem 5.3 implies that $\mathrm{ldim}(\mathcal{L}) = 0$ where r runs from -1 and reaches n (see also Corollary 6.5).

Proof of Theorem 5.3 (1). We split the proof in two independent parts:

(1) $b(D) \geq n-1$ or $b \geq 1$ and $m_i \leq d$ for all i.
(2) $b(D) \leq n-2$.

Case (1). We consider the first case and, by Proposition 5.12, we conclude $\tilde{D} = -b\mathrm{Cr}(H)$. In Theorem 3.1 we proved that for all $i \neq n$ then $h^i(\tilde{D}) = h^i(\mathcal{O}(-b\mathrm{Cr}_n(H))) = 0$ while

$$h^n(\tilde{D}) = h^n(\mathcal{O}(-b\mathrm{Cr}_n(H))) = h^n(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(-b)) = \binom{b-(n+1)+n}{n},$$

so the first case follows easily.

Case (2). It is enough to assume $m_1 = d+1$ and $b(D) \leq n-2$ to prove inductively the vanishing theorems of all cohomology groups. First if $b(D) \leq -1$ then $k_{1,\dots,\hat{j},\dots n+1} \leq 0$ so $\tilde{D} = D_{(n-2)}$. The claim now follows by Theorem 5.8 so it is enough to consider the case $0 \leq b(D) \leq n-2$. Furthermore if $b(D) = 0$ and $m_1 = d+1$ then, since $k_{1,\dots,\hat{j},\dots n+1} \leq 1$, the claim follows from Proposition 5.8 and Proposition 5.10.

We reduced to the case $1 \leq b(D) \leq n-2$ and $m_1 = d+1$. Notice that in the planar case, $n = 2$ since $\tilde{D} = -b\mathrm{Cr}(H)$, by Proposition 5.12 and Theorem 3.1 the claim is trivial. We use this case as the first case of induction.

For $n \geq 3$ it is easy to see that

$$\tilde{D} = \tilde{\ddot{F}}$$

where $\ddot{F}$ is a toric divisor in $\mathbb{P}^n$ with degree and multiplicities and $m_i \leq d+1$, based at $s \leq n-1$ points and extra points of multiplicity zero as in Remark 5.11. Let F denote the support divisor of $\ddot{F}$ with $s \leq n-1$ base points. We prove, inducing on n, that $h^i(\tilde{D}) = h^i(\tilde{\ddot{F}})$. Recall that for every $\ddot{I} \in \ddot{\mathcal{I}}$ one has $k_{\ddot{I}} \leq |\ddot{I}| - 1$. Using Proposition 5.8 and the induction hypothesis as in Remark 5.11, it is easy to see that, for all $i \geq 0$, the following identities hold

$$h^i(\tilde{D}) = h^i(\ddot{F}_{(n-1)}) = h^i(\ddot{F}_{(n-2)}) = h^i(F_{(n-2)}) = 0.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 5.1. We first recall that for any effective divisor D, complementary cycles, L_I and L_J, can not be simultaneously contained in the base locus since $K_I + K_J \leq 0$ for any arbitrary disjoint sets with $|I| + |J| = n$. Moreover the same statement holds for non-effective divisors, D, provided that $\mathcal{I}$ satisfies (I) and (III). This observation allows us to extend the proof of Theorem 5.3 (1) to non-effective divisors.

Indeed, assuming that $k_{I(r)} \geq 1$ for some linear cycle of dimension r, $L_{I(r)}$, spanned by a subset $I(r)$ on $r+1$ points then in our hypothesis the restriction to the exceptional divisor $E_{I(r)}$, $D_{(r-1)}|_{E_{I(r)}}$, is of the form

$$D_{(r-1)}|_{E_{I(r)}} = \tilde{G} \boxtimes 0,$$

for some $\tilde{G}$. Because $K_{I(r)^c} \leq 0$, we conclude that G is always a toric divisor in $\mathbb{P}^r$ with $k_{I(r)} = b(G)$ and $m_i \leq d+1$ of the form

$$G = dh - \sum_{i \in I(r)} m_i E_i.$$

By applying Theorem 5.3 (1) to the toric divisor G in $\mathbb{P}^r$ with $m_i \leq d+1$ and $b(G) = k_{I(r)}$, we obtain

$$h^i(\mathbb{P}^n, D_{(r-1)}|_{E_{I(r)}}) = h^i(\mathbb{P}^r, \tilde{G}) = h^i((\mathbb{P}^r, -k_{I(r)}h).$$

We furthermore recall [5, Proposition 4.10] that gives

$$h^{r+1}(D_{(r)}) = h^{r+1}(D_{(r+1)}) - h^{r+2}(D_{(r+1)}) + \sum_{k_{I(r)} \geq 1} \binom{n + k_{I(r)} - (r+1) - 1}{n}$$

and $h^i(D_{(r+1)}) = h^i(D_{(r+2)})$, for all $i \leq r+1$.

Notice if $r = n-1$, i.e. I is a subset with $|I| = n$, the same computations hold for the divisor $E_I = H_I$, a blown-up hyperplane through the cycles of I in $X^{n-1}_{(n-3)}$. Recall that for each multi-index I of cardinality n and H_I hyperplane spanned by the points parametrized by I, with $k_I \geq 1$, then

$$\tilde{D} = D_{(n-2)} - \sum_{k_I \geq 1} k_I H_I.$$

By iterating the above formulas for $\rho \geq r+1$ we conclude the proof of Part (1).

To prove part (2), observe that $D_{(r)}$ is obtained from $D_{(r-1)}$ by restricting $k_{I(r)}$ times to the exceptional divisors $E_{I(r)}$, for all $I(r)$. By the above argument we obtain that the restricted divisor, for $l = 0, \ldots, k_{I(r)} - 1$, satisfies

$$h^i(\mathbb{P}^n, D_{(r-1)} - (k_{I(r)} - l)E_{I(r)}|_{E_{I(r)}}) = h^i(\mathbb{P}^r, -lh).$$

The right-hand side cohomology group is null for all $0 \leq l \leq \min(r, k_{I(r)})$. □

We know complete the proof of the main result of this section, Theorem 5.3

Proof of Theorem 5.3 (2). Our theorem follows from Theorem 5.3 (1), Theorem 5.1 and Corollary 5.2.

Indeed, we are left to prove $h^0(D) = \operatorname{ldim}(D)$. For $\tilde{D}$ we have all the vanishing theorems except for $h^n(\tilde{D}) = \binom{b-1}{n}$; this enables us to reach the highest value, $r = n$, in $\operatorname{ldim}(D)$ as well as in $h^r(D_{(r+1)})$ formulas in Theorem 5.1. In particular, applying Corollary 5.2 to the divisor $D = D_{(0)}$ we obtain $h^0(D) = \operatorname{ldim}(D)$. □

6. Vanishing theorem for non-effective divisors with $s \geq n+2$ points

In this section we study the vanishing theorems for the strict transforms in a "small" region outside the effective cone. We consider the following chambers of $\mathrm{N}^1(X) \setminus \mathrm{Eff}(X)$, where $X = X^n_{(0)}$ is the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^n$ at $n+2$ general points.

$$s = n+2: \quad b = 1, \quad m_j \leq d+1; \tag{6.1a}$$

$$s = n+2: \quad b \leq 0, \quad m_1 = d+1, \ m_j \leq d+1. \tag{6.1b}$$

The main goal of this section is to extend to non-toric case the classification Theorem 5.3 for toric divisors by proving that any divisor satisfying conditions (6.1) has strict transform $D_{(n-1)}$, in the space consecutively blown-up along all linear cycles up to codimension 1, with null cohomology groups.

Theorem 6.1. *Theorem 1.4 holds for non-effective divisors with $s = n+2$ points satisfying the inequalities (6.1).*

We will also generalize Theorem 4.1 to non-effective divisors with arbitrarily high number of points in a small region around the effective cone, namely for $m_i \leq d+1$.

Corollary 6.2. *Theorem 1.4 holds for non-effective divisors with $s \geq n+3$ points such that $m_i \leq d+1$ and $b \leq s-n-2$.*

Remark 6.3. For the same reason as in the toric case, see Section 5.1, the multiplicity is bounded $m_i \leq d+1$. Moreover, if $b > \max(1, s-n-2)$, then complementary cycles, I and $I^c := \{1, \ldots, n+2\} \setminus I$, may have $K_I, K_{I^c} \geq 1$, being $K_I + K_{I^c} = b$ and condition (III) of Section 2 would not be satisfied.

Remark 6.4. For non-effective divisors the strict transform behaves differently than in the effective case, namely it may have positive and negative coefficients. Therefore a detailed analysis for all cases that can occur is necessary in the proof of the above statements.

In the chambers of $\mathrm{N}^1(X) \setminus \mathrm{Eff}(X)$, where X is the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^n$ at s points, and the multiplicities of the base points satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 6.1 or Corollary 6.2, also the group of global sections is null and this implies that the Euler characteristic of $\tilde{D}$ is zero. Moreover if D is toric and sits on the face $b = 0$ of $\mathrm{Eff}(X)$ then the group of global sections has dimension one. In the next Corollary we compare $\mathrm{ldim}(D)$ and $\chi(\tilde{D})$ for such divisors.

Corollary 6.5. *Let D be a divisor with $m_i \leq d+1$. The following holds.*

(1) If D is toric effective with $b = 0$, then

$$\chi(\tilde{D}) = \mathrm{ldim}(D) = 1.$$

(2) If D is toric non-effective, then $h^n(\tilde{D}) = \binom{b-1}{n}$ for $b \geq n+1$ and zero otherwise. Moreover

$$\mathrm{ldim}(D) = 0, \quad \chi(\tilde{D}) = \mathrm{ldim}(D) + (-1)^n h^n(\tilde{D}).$$

(3) If D is non-effective such that $s \leq n+1$ and $b \leq n$, or $s = n+2$ and $b \leq 1$, or $s \geq n+3$ and $b \leq s-n-2$, then

$$\chi(\tilde{D}) = \mathrm{ldim}(D) = 0.$$

Proof. Notice that in the first and last identities r reaches at most $n-1$ while in the second one r can reach n as well. Part (1) follows from Proposition 5.12 and [5, Theorem 4.6]. Moreover, part (2) and (3) follows from Theorem 5.3 if $s \leq n+1$, Theorem 6.1 if $s = n+2$ and Corollary 6.2 for $s \geq n+3$, while the last one follows form Corollary 6.2 respectively since $h^0(D) = \mathrm{ldim}(D) = 0$. □

6.1. **The planar case.** The proof of Theorem 6.1 will be by induction on the dimension, n, on the degree, d and on the multiplicities, m_i. We first show the result for the planar case, $n = 2$.

Proposition 6.6. *Theorem 1.4 holds for non-effective divisors in $\mathbb{P}^2$ with $s \leq 4$ points satisfying the inequalities (6.1).*

Proof. For the non-effective divisors in $\mathbb{P}^2$ based on at most four points we reduce the proof to the vanishing theorems for $\tilde{D}$. Indeed, for any line L_{ij} through two points p_i and p_j with corresponding $k_{ij} \geq 1$, we have $D|_{L_{ij}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-k_{ij})$. So by Theorem 5.3 (1) we have

$$h^1(D) = \sum_{i,j} \binom{k_{ij}}{2} + h^1(\tilde{D}) - h^2(\tilde{D}),$$
$$h^0(D) = \mathrm{ldim}(D) - h^1(\tilde{D}) + h^2(\tilde{D}).$$

Therefore to prove the statement for such divisors D it is enough to prove the vanishing theorems for $\tilde{D}$. The proof of the vanishing theorems in dimension 2 is obvious but we will include it for the sake of completeness. We first order the multiplicities in a decreasing order $m_1 \geq \ldots \geq m_s$ and we discuss all possible cases.

Case $b \leq 1$, $s \leq 4$ and $m_1 = d+1$. Notice that $k_{1i} = 1 + m_i \geq 1$ for $2 \leq i \leq s$. Moreover, $b = (d+1) + m_2 + m_3 + m_4 - 2d \leq 1$ implies that $k_{ij} \leq 0$ if $i, j \neq 1$. For $s \geq 2$ denote by

$$\tilde{D} = D_{(1)} := D - \sum_{i=2}^{s} k_{1i}(H - E_1 - E_i)$$

and $D_{(1)}$ is just D for $s = 1$. We notice that

$$\tilde{D} = (-b - s + 2)H - (-b - s + 3)E_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{s} E_i.$$

Is obvious that $\chi(\tilde{D}) = 0$ and $h^1(\tilde{D}) = h^1((-b-s+2)H - (-b-s+3)E_1)$. Since $\tilde{D}$ is an empty divisor we conclude $h^1(\tilde{D}) = h^2(\tilde{D}) = 0$.

Case $b = 1, s = 4$ and $m_1 = d$. We have $k_{1i} = m_i$ and we obtain a unique strict transform

$$\tilde{D} = -H + E_1.$$

As before we conclude $h^1(\tilde{D}) = h^2(\tilde{D}) = 0$.

Case $b = 1, s = 4$ and $m_1 < d$. We must have $k_{12} > 0$ (otherwise D is effective). The residual has $k_{34} = 1$ so after subtracting once a time the intersecting cycles L_{34} and L_{12} we find a strict transform of the form

$$\tilde{D} = -H + E_1$$

and we conclude that $h^1(\tilde{D}) = h^2(\tilde{D}) = 0$. □

6.2. **Proof of Theorem 6.1.** In this section we conclude the proof of the vanishing theorems for divisors satisfying (6.1) by induction on n, based on the planar case discussed in Section 6.1.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Notice first that (6.1) implies $K_I + K_{I^c} = b \leq 1$. Hence the set of multi-indices $\mathcal{I}$ of cycles with $K_I \geq 0$ satisfies (I) and (III) so the hypotheses of Theorem 5.1 are satisfied. Obviously, by proving all the vanishings theorems for $\tilde{D}$, using Corollary 5.2 and both statements of Theorem 5.1 we obtain as a consequence statements (1) and (2) of our theorem. This reduces the proof to the vanishing theorems for $\tilde{D}$.

We denote by $\tilde{D} := D - \sum_{k_J > 0} k_J E_J$ where J is the linear set of points $\{P_{i_1}, \ldots, P_{i_{j+1}}\}, j = |J| - 1$ and $K_J := \sum_{i \in J} m_i - jd$ and $k_J = \max(0, K_J)$. We split the proof in two parts depending on the positivity of K_J, where J is a multi-index of cardinality n.

(1) Case $K_J \leq 0$ for all multi-indices J with $|J| = n$.

This case corresponds to $r \leq n-2$ so we are left to prove that $h^i(D_{(n-2)}) = 0$. In particular no hyperplane will give a binomial contribution in the ldim D formula.

We will denote sequence of type C restriction to hyperplanes with negative K_J in the Castelnuovo sequence and by sequence of type B the removal of the cycles E_J whenever k_J is positive (and by this we also include hyperplanes with corresponding positive K_J). The idea of the proof is that we will use sequences C to decrease the degree and multiplicities in the kernel and sequences B to take the strict transform of the kernel system. We use induction on dimension, n, in the trace system and induction on the degree d and multiplicities m_i in the kernel.

Notice first that for $s = n + 2$ points $b = K_J + K_{J^c} \leq 1$ so for any subset of two points, $|J| = 2$, we have $K_J = b - K_{J^c}$. Therefore k_J can be both positive or zero

for $|J|=2$. Furthermore, since $m_i \leq d+1$ and $b \leq 1$, we can have at most $n-1$ multiplicities equal to $d+1$. We choose from the beginning the hyperplane to pass through the first n points so we don't violate the inequalities $m_i \leq d+1$ in the kernel, G. We use first a sequence of type C

$$C: 0 \to G := \tilde{D} - H_{1,\dots,n} \to \tilde{D} \to \tilde{D}|_{H_{1,\dots,n}} \to 0.$$

However in the kernel, G, for some subsets J of length n we have positive K_J so we violate the initial assumption (no hyperplanes condition). In fact only cycles of type $E_{*,n+1,n+2}$ may appear in G with corresponding $k_{*,n+1,n+2}$ at most equal to 1, for any linear cycle spanned by at least two points, $2 \leq |*, n+1, n+2| \leq n$. For this we will use restriction sequences of type B to remove the simple 'base locus' of dimension at most $n-1$. We start by restricting to all cycles of the same minimal dimension (in the first step is just $E_{n+1,n+2}$), and then gradually increasing cardinality of $|I|$ by 1.

$$B: 0 \to G_{(1)} := G - E_{n+1,n+2} \to G \to G|_{E_{n+1,n+2}} \to 0.$$

With every sequence B the trace has a constant form. If $|I| = i+1$ with $k_I > 0$ and h denotes the general hyperplane class in $\mathbb{P}^i$ the trace is

$$G|_{E_I} = * \boxtimes (-\mathrm{Cr}_n(H)(\check{\mathcal{I}})).$$

We use induction on i and Proposition 5.10 to prove that the trace has always the vanishing theorems. We denote the kernel by $\tilde{G}$,

$$\tilde{G} := G - \sum_{k_I>0} E_I.$$

We use sequences B repeatedly and conclude

$$h^i(G) = h^i(\tilde{G}).$$

Notice that $b(\tilde{G}) = b(D) =: b \leq 1$ and $\tilde{G}$ has all K_J negative so the kernel $\tilde{G}$ is now in the beginning assumption.

We will handle the restriction divisor and prove that it has the induction hypothesis. We first denote by e'_{n+1} to be the trace of the cycle $E_{n+1,n+2}$ on the hyperplane $H_{1,\dots,n}$ or $e'_{n+1} := E_{n+1,n+2}|_{H_{1,\dots,n}}$. If $J \subset \{1,\dots,n\}$ for $|J| = n-1$ and $I \subset J$ any subset with $|I| \leq n-2$ then

$$\tilde{D}|_{H_{1,\dots,n}} = dh - \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i e_i - k_{n+1,n+2} e'_{n+1} - \sum_{k_I>0} k_I e_I - \sum_{k_J>0} k_J h_J,$$

where $h_J = E_J|_{H_{1,\dots,n}}$ and E_J are the codimension 2 cycles in $\tilde{D}$. Note that none of the codimension 2 cycles, h_J, passes through the point e'_{n+1}.

Let's denote by F the divisor (allowing $k_{n+1,n+2}$ to be zero as well)

$$F := dh - \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i e_i - k_{n+1,n+2} e'_{n+1}.$$

We conclude that

$$\tilde{D}|_{H_{1,\dots,n}} = \tilde{F}.$$

Moreover notice that if $k_{n+1,n+2} = 0$ then the trace $\tilde{D}|_{H_{1,\dots,n}}$ is toric for all K_J are negative for $|J| = n-1$ and $m_i \leq d+1$ but in dimension $n-1$.

Also note that if $k_{n+1,n+2} \geq 1$ then the restriction $\tilde{D}|_{H_{1,\dots,n}} = \tilde{F}$ has $b(\tilde{F}) = b(F) = b(D) \leq 1$. Since $\tilde{F}$ is a divisor in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ with $s = n+1$ points by induction

on the dimension, results in Section 5 apply. When $k_{n+1,n+2} = 0$ then $b(\tilde{F}) = K_{1,\dots,n} \leq K_{1,\dots,n} + K_{n+1,n+2} = b(D) \leq 1$ and for $\tilde{F}$ Remark 5.11 (with one zero point point) applies .

We use induction on dimension and results from Section 5 to conclude the vanishings of the trace $\tilde{D}|_{H_{1,\dots,n}}$ starting with Proposition 6.6 as the first step. We use induction on degree and multiplicities to conclude the vanishing of the residual $\tilde{G}$, starting with the toric case as the first step. (Notice that the first case when we have empty divisors with $m_1 = d+1$, $b = 1$ and k_J negative for all $|J| = n$ is $\mathbb{P}^4$).

(2) Case $K_J > 0$ for some multi-index J with $|J| = n$.

We subdivide this case into two cases. We are in the hypothesis that $k_{1,\dots,n} = K_{1,\dots,n} \geq 1$ therefore $K_{n+1,n+2} \leq 0$ (since $K_I + K_{I^c} = b \leq 1$). It implies that the divisor $D|_{H_{1,\dots,n}}$ is toric. Denote by L a subset of $\{1,\dots,n-2\}$ with $|L| = n-2$. For $k_{1,\dots,n} \geq 1$ we distinguish two cases:

(2.a) $K_{L,n+1,n+2} + K_{1,\dots,n} \leq 0$.
(2.b) $K_{L,n+1,n+2} + K_{1,\dots,n} > 0$.

Denote by $D' := D - K_{1,\dots,n}H_{1,\dots,n}$ and the coefficients of D' by d' and m'_i respectively. We leave it to the reader to observe that in the hypothesis the residual, D', has $d'+1 \leq m'_i$, $b(D') = b(D)$. The two cases above correspond to:

(2.a) $K'_{L,n+1,n+2} \leq 0$.
(2.b) $K'_{L,n+1,n+2} > 0$.

Remark 6.7. Notice that case (2.a) corresponds to a strict transform notion that is uniquely defined, $\tilde{\tilde{D}} = \tilde{D}$, having vanishing theorems. Case (2.b) corresponds to a notion of strict transform for which $\tilde{\tilde{D}} \neq \tilde{D}$, in general with negative coefficients, that has vanishing theorems.

Case (2.*a*). We have $K_{L,n+1,n+2} + K_{1,\dots,n} \leq 0$ and $K_{1,\dots,n} \geq 0$. Notice $K_{L,n+2,n+2} < 0$ and the residual, D' is either in Case (1) or Case (2.a). Furthermore for any subset M of length $n-1$ and $i \in \{n+1, n+2\}$ we have

$$K'_{M,i} = K_{M,i}.$$

This means that D has a unique strict transform such that $\tilde{\tilde{D}} = \tilde{D}$, namely

$$\tilde{D} := D - \sum_{k_J > 0, 2 \leq |J| \leq n} k_J E_J.$$

If $\tilde{D}$ has positive coefficients by case (1) we conclude that $\tilde{D}$ has the vanishing theorems. If $\tilde{D}$ has some negative coefficients we stop at the toric positive coefficients and conclude by the toric case and Remark 5.11 as carefully explained in Case (2.*b*) below.

Case (2.*b*). Notice first that for any L subset of $\{1,\dots,n\}$ with $n-2$ elements, $K'_{L,n+1,n+2}$ is constant and bounded above by $n-1$ since

$$0 < K'_{L,n+1,n+2} = K_{1,\dots,n} + K_{L,n+1,n+2} \leq n-1.$$

Since we know $K_{1,\dots,n} > 0$ we have $K_{L,n+1,n+2} \leq n-1$. Also notice that if $K_{1,\dots,n} \geq n-1$ then $K_{L,n+1,n+2} \leq 0$ for any subset L as above, while case $K_{1,\dots,n} \leq n-1$ corresponds to $K_I \leq n-1$ for all subsets $|I| = n$. Furthermore since after each hyperplane restriction the residual has $d+1 \leq m_i$ then at every step of the type

B Castelnuovo sequence we get $K'_{L,n+1,n+2} \leq n-1$. We interpret this as the hyperplanes $H_{L,n+1,n+2}$ will not give any binomial contribution to $\mathrm{ldim}(D)$ and to $\chi(\tilde{D})$. Note again that D' may not have positive coefficients. As before, we denote the coefficients of D' with d' and m'_i. The case $m'_n < 0$ corresponds to having the inequality $K_{1,\dots,n} = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i - (n-1)d \geq m_n$ at some step in the Castelnuovo restriction sequences. Depending on positivity of m_n we will discuss two further cases:

(2.b.a) $K_{1,\dots,n} = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i - (n-1)d \geq m_n$.
(2.b.b) $K_{1,\dots,n} = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i - (n-1)d < m_n$.

Case (2.b.a). If $K_{1,\dots,n} \geq m_n$ then we restrict the divisor $D_{(n-2)}$ to hyperplane $H_{1,\dots,n}$ one at the time (using sequences C). After each restriction we check if $K_J = -1$ for some $J \subset \{1,\dots,n\}$ or if $K_{*,n+1,n+2} = 1$ for $0 \leq |*| \leq n-3$. If so, we use sequences B to restrict to the corresponding cycle $E_{*,n+1,n+2}$ and remove it. We use sequences C (and after each restriction we use sequence B respectively) until we will remove $H_{1,\dots,n}$ exactly m_n times. As explained in case (1), after each sequence B we preserve the cohomologies. This means we will consider the toric divisor $\ddot{D}$ where $\ddot{D} := D - m_n H_{1,\dots,n}$. Note that

$$K_{1,\dots,n} - m_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_j - (n-1)d - m_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} m_j - (n-1)d \leq n-1$$

Now for any multi-indices $L, M \subset \{1,\dots,n\}$ with $|M| = n-1$, $|L| = n-2$ and $i \in \{n+1, n+2\}$, we have

$$\ddot{K}_{M,i} = K_{M,i}$$
$$\ddot{K}_{L,n+1,n+2} = m_n + K_{L,n+1,n+2} \leq K_{1,\dots,n} + K_{L,n+1,n+2} \leq n-1.$$

Since hyperplanes $H_{L,n+1,n+2}$ give no binomial contribution to $\mathrm{ldim}(\ddot{D})$, we choose to remove them only $K_{L,n+1,n+2}$ times to conclude vanishing theorems by the toric case and Remark 5.11. We proved

$$h^i(\tilde{D}) = h^i(\tilde{\ddot{D}}) = 0.$$

Case (2.b.b). If $K_{1,\dots,n} < m_n$ we take $D' := D_{(n-2)} - K_{1,\dots,n} H_{1,\dots,n}$

As before, to obtain D' we use sequence C to restrict $D_{(n-2)}$ to the hyperplane $H_{1,\dots,n}$, and sequence B respectively for removing base locus up to codimension $n-2$ the same way as explained in case (2.b.a). We run this process with each restriction until we get to D'. We know that D' has positive coefficients, $d'+1 \geq m'_j$ and $b(D') = b(D)$ and the following inequalities hold: $K'_{1,\dots,n} = 0$ and

$$0 < K'_{L,n+1,n+2} = K'_{L,n+1,n+2} + K'_{1,\dots,n} = K_{L,n+1,n+2} + K_{1,\dots,n} \leq n-1.$$

Moreover, for any subset $M \subset \{1,\dots,n\}$ with $|M| = n-1$ and $i \in \{n+1, n+2\}$ we have

$$K'_{M,i} = K_{M,i}.$$

Because $K_{L,n+1,n+2} \leq n-1$ we conclude

$$h^i(\tilde{D}) = h^i(\tilde{D}').$$

Furthermore, since $K'_{L,n+1,n+2} > 0$, in order to obtain $\tilde{D}'$, we remove $H_{L,n+1,n+2}$ from D' exactly $K'_{L,n+1,n+2}$ times and we continue the algorithm for $H_{1,\dots,n}$. In

this way the multiplicities decrease, so we stop when the residual, is in case (2.b.a), of the form $\ddot{D}$. We obtain the vanishing sequences from Remark 5.11. We conclude

$$h^i(\tilde{D}) = h^i(\tilde{D}') = h^i(\tilde{\ddot{D}}) = 0.$$

□

6.3. **Case $s \geq n+3$.** In this section we prove the result for the case of arbitrary number of points satisfying $b \leq s-n-2$.

Proof of Corollary 6.2. The effective case $m_i \leq d$ was proved in Theorem 4.1. Assume $m_1 = d+1$ and, without loss of generality, $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \cdots \geq m_s$. Notice that the inequality $b \leq s-n-2$ implies $K_I + K_J = \sum_{i \in I \cup J} m_i - nd \leq 0$ for any disjoint sets I, J with $|I| + |J| = n+2$. Therefore $\mathcal{I}$, the set of all multi-indices in $\{1, \ldots, s\}$, satisfies conditions (I) and (III) of Section 2.

By Theorem 5.1 and Corollary 5.2 it is enough to prove vanishing theorems for $\tilde{D}$. We prove it by induction on s, using as base step the cases $s \leq n+2$.

Assume first that $\tilde{D}$ has positive coefficients. Set $D' := dH - \sum_{i=1}^{n+2} m_i E_i$. Notice that $h^i(\tilde{D}) = h^i(\tilde{D}')$. Indeed $\tilde{D}$ can be obtained from $\tilde{D}'$, by restricting m_i times to each exceptional divisor E_i, $i \geq n+3$, each time removing the simple base locus. The argument is the same as in the proofs of Propositions 4.6 and 4.7, and here details are left to the reader. Because $b(D') \leq 0$, by Theorem 6.1 we have $h^i(\tilde{D}') = 0$ and this proves the claim.

Assume now that $\tilde{D}$ has some negative coefficients. Notice that $K_{2,\ldots,n+1} = b(D) - m_1 - \sum_{i \geq n+2} m_i + d \leq s-n-2-(d+1)-(s-n-1)+d \leq 0$, the first inequality following from $b \leq s-n-2$ and $m_i \geq 1$. This implies that the hyperplane spanned by $\{2, \ldots, n+2\}$ is not in the base locus of D and therefore no hyperplane spanned by $I(n-1) \subset \{2, \ldots, s\}$ is. Moreover, if $I(n-1)$, with $1 \in I(n-1)$, has $k_{I(n-1)} \geq n$ then $K_{I(\rho)} \geq 0$, for all $I(\rho) \subset I(n-1)$, as observed in the proof of Proposition 5.12).

As in the proof of Theorem 5.3 we can write $\tilde{D} = \tilde{\ddot{F}}$, for some divisor $\ddot{F}$ with positive coefficients, $b(\ddot{F}) \leq 1$ and at most s base points, some of them having multiplicity zero. By Remark 5.11 we have $h^i(\tilde{D}) = h^i(\tilde{\ddot{F}}) = h^i(\tilde{F})$, for all $i \geq 0$, with F, the support divisor of $\ddot{F}$, having at most $s-1$ base points. By the induction hypothesis we have $h^i(\tilde{F}) = 0$ and this concludes the proof. □

7. Applications

7.1. **Vanishing theorems for points in star configuration in $\mathbb{P}^n$.** As an application of the results proved in the previous sections, we compute the number of global sections and prove vanishing theorems for the cohomology groups of the strict transforms along the linear base locus of some families of divisors interpolating points in star configuration in $\mathbb{P}^n$.

A *star configuration* of points is a collection of points satisfying some particular geometric relation. They have been object of study in many papers lately, see [15, 16] and references therein.

Given l hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n$ that meet properly, i.e. not three of them intersecting along a $\mathbb{P}^{n-2}$, not four of them intersecting along a $\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$ etc, a *star configuration* of *dimension r subspaces* is the set given by the $\binom{l}{n-r}$ linear subspaces of dimension r in $\mathbb{P}^n$ formed by taking all possible intersections of $n-r$ among the l hyperplanes.

In [16, Theorem 3.2], the authors compute the Hilbert function of the ideals of star configurations of dimension r subspaces of multiplicity two. In Theorem 7.3 we compute the number of global sections of a class of linear systems in $\mathbb{P}^n$ interpolating star configurations of points obtained by $l = n+2$ hyperplanes with higher multiplicities, providing a new result in this framework that has been object of intense study lately.

Remark 7.1. When $l = n+2$, star configurations of dimension r subspaces in $\mathbb{P}^n$ are obtained as follows. Let us embed $\mathbb{P}^n \hookrightarrow H \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ and denote by $p_1, \dots, p_{n+2}$ a general collection of points of $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$, that we may think of as the coordinate points, with respect to which H is a general hyperplane. The family of points $q_{ij} := L_{ij} \cap H \in H$, for all lines $L_{ij} = \langle p_i, p_j \rangle \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$, forms a star configuration of points in H. Indeed, denoting by H_l the hyperplane spanned by all p_i's with $i \neq l$ for all $1 \leq l \leq n+2$, we can write $q_{ij} = H \cap \bigcap_{l \neq i,j} H_l$. Similarly, the family of r-linear subspaces $\lambda_I := L_I \cap H$, for all multi-indices $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_{r+2}\} \subset \{1, \dots, n+2\}$, is a star configuration of dimension r subspaces in H.

We now study effective divisors in $\mathbb{P}^n$ interpolating star configurations of points. Set $Y_{(0)} = Y^n_{(0)}$ to be the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^n$ at the star configuration of points given as intersections of $\binom{n+2}{n}$ hyperplanes. Following Remark 7.1 we denote by q_{ij}, $1 \leq i < j \leq n+2$ such points. Let us denote by h the hyperplane class and by e_{ij} the exceptional divisors.

Remark 7.2. As in Remark 7.1, let us embed $\mathbb{P}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ and, as in Section 2, let $X_{(1)} = X^{n+1}_{(1)}$ denote the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ at general points $p_1, \dots, p_{n+2}$ and, subsequently, along the lines spanned by those points, with exceptional divisors E_{ij}, $1 \leq i < j \leq n+1$. By writing $h = H|_H$ and $e_{ij} = E_{ij}|_H$, we obtain the following isomorphism $Y_{(0)} = X_{(1)}|_H$.

Given integers $d \geq m_1, \dots, m_{n+2}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n+2} m_i - (n+1)d \leq 0$, we consider the following divisor on $Y_{(0)}$

$$\Delta := dh - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+2} k_{ij} e_{ij},$$

where $k_{ij} = \max(m_i + m_j - d, 0)$.

We prove that it is only linearly obstructed, with linear base locus supported on the star configurations of linear subspaces λ_I defined above (Remark 7.1) and that its subsequent strict transforms after blowing-up the dimension r star configuration have vanishing theorems.

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the set of all multi-indices in $\{1, \dots, n+2\}$, and for each multi-index $I(r) \subset \mathcal{I}$ of cardinality $r+1$, we use the notation (1.1). For increasing r, let $Y_{(r)} = Y^n_{(r)}$ denote the blow-up of $Y_{(r-1)}$ along the pull-back of the star configuration of r-subspaces $\lambda_{I(r)}$ in H and let $\Delta_{(r)}$ be the strict transform of Δ.

Theorem 7.3. *In the above notation, we have*

$$h^0(\Delta) = \binom{n+d}{n} + \sum_{I(r) \in \mathcal{I}, r \geq 1} (-1)^r \binom{n + k_{I(r)} - r}{n}.$$

Moreover, for all $1 \leq r \leq n-1$, $h^i(\Delta_{(r)}) = 0$, *for all* $i \neq 0, r+1$, *and*

$$h^{r+1}(\Delta_{(r)}) = \sum_{I(\rho)\in\mathcal{I}, \rho \geq r+2} (-1)^{\rho} \binom{n + k_{I(\rho)} - \rho}{n}.$$

In particular $h^i(\tilde{\Delta}) = 0$, *for all* $i > 0$.

Proof. Recall the inclusion $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ and let $X_{(r+1)} := X^{n+1}_{(r+1)}$ be the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ first at the points $p_1, \ldots, p_{n+2}$ and then along the linear subspaces L_I of dimension bounded by $r+1$. We have the following inclusion $Y_{(r)} \subset X_{(r+1)}$.

Let us consider the following divisors on $X_{(0)}$

$$D = dH - \sum_{i=2}^{n+2} m_i E_i, \quad D' = D - H.$$

Notice that D is effective and D' is either effective or satisfies condition (5.1a).

Abusing notation, denote by H the pull-back of $H \cong \mathbb{P}^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ and notice that the restriction $D_{(r+1)}|_H$ belongs to the linear system

$$D_{(r+1)}|_H \subset |\Delta_{(r)}|.$$

Consider the following restriction sequence

$$0 \to D_{(r+1)} - H \to D_{(r+1)} \to D_{(r+1)}|_H \to 0. \tag{7.1}$$

By Theorem 1.4 we have that $h^i(D_{(r+1)}) = 0$, for all $i \neq 0, r+2$. Moreover, a fundamental observation is that the linear ρ-cycles contained in base locus of $D_{(r+1)} - H$ have multiplicity bounded by ρ, for all $\rho \leq r+1$. Hence, by Theorem 5.1 (2) that they do not provide obstruction, namely $h^i(D_{(r+1)} - H) = h^i(D'_{(r+1)}) = 0$, for all $i \neq 0, r+2$. Therefore we conclude

$$h^0(\Delta_{(r)}) = h^0(D) - h^0(D'),$$
$$h^{r+1}(\Delta_{(r)}) = h^{r+2}(D'_{(r+1)}) - h^{r+2}(D_{(r+1)})$$

and that all higher cohomology groups vanish, by means of the long exact sequence in cohomology associated with (7.1). □

Corollary 7.4. *The linear subspace* λ_I *is contained with multiplicity* k_I *in the base locus of* $\Delta_{(r)}$.

Proof. Let D be as in the proof of Theorem 7.3. The linear base locus of $\Delta_{(r)}$ is the intersection with the hyperplane H of the linear base locus of $D_{(r+1)}$, described in Proposition 4.2, and in particular it is supported at the dimension ρ star configurations, with $\rho \geq r+1$. □

A strong interpretation of the above corollary is that the only special effect varieties in the sense of [2] are the linear subspaces λ_I.

Moreover this suggests a definition of linear dimension for divisors interpolating points in star configuration in $\mathbb{P}^n$, that generalizes the notion of linear dimension for divisors interpolating points in general position that was introduced in [5] and that has been extensively studied throughout this paper. While in the general case the linear obstructions are the linear subspaces spanned by the points, in this case they are given by the star configurations of linear subspaces.

Remark 7.5. One may take general hyperplane sections of effective linearly obstructed divisors D in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ interpolating arbitrary numbers of points in general position with bounds (4.2) or (6.1). Using Theorem 4.1 or Theorem 1.4 one analyses the divisor D and using Corollary 6.2 or Theorem 6.1 respectively one analyses the kernel divisor. The resulting restricted divisor $\Delta = D_{(1)}|_H$ in $Y^n_{(0)}$ interpolates points in special configuration and is linearly obstructed. Moreover a cohomological description such as the one established in Theorem 7.3 can be obtained for such divisors.

7.2. **Degenerations in blown-up spaces.** Results about vanishing cohomologies of non-effective only linearly obstructed divisors are useful when applying degeneration techniques.

The degeneration techniques developed by Ciliberto and Miranda [11, 12] was used to prove non-speciality results for linear systems of planar curves interpolating general points with higher multiplicities. This techniques was recently generalized in [21] to the higher dimensional case to study divisors in in $\mathbb{P}^n$ interpolating double points.

While proving non-speciality results for effective linear systems one has to make sure that in the degeneration argument no new obstructions in the linear systems on the central fiber are created, see [21]. The blowing-up construction introduced in [5] and further developed in this paper allows to handle the linear obstructions. In this section we want to give a sample of how combining the two approaches could provide a powerful tool for solving interpolation problems in $\mathbb{P}^n$. Mainly the new idea we introduce here is to degenerate the strict transform $D_{(r)}$ of a divisor rather than degenerating the original divisor D. We plan to return to this subject and further develop this approach in future work.

Such a construction consists in degenerating the projective space into the union of two varieties, the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^3$ at a point, $\mathbb{F} \cong \mathrm{Bl}_{p_0}\mathbb{P}^3$, and the exceptional divisor, $\mathbb{P} \cong \mathbb{P}^3$. Simultaneously we degenerate a linear system to one obtained as fibered product of linear systems on the two components over the restricted system on their intersection $Y := \mathbb{P} \cap \mathbb{F}$. Notice that Y is the exceptional divisor E_0 of p_0 for $\mathbb{F}$, while in $\mathbb{P}$ it is a general hyperplane H.

Consider the quartic surface with nine double points,

$$D = 4H - 2\sum_{i=1}^{9} E_i \in \mathrm{Pic}(X^3_{(0)}).$$

It is well known that $h^1(D) = 2$ and $h^0(D) = 1$. This example is the first case where the speciality is caused by the presence of a quadric in the base locus. Notice that it is not Cremona-reducible to a divisor with only linear obstructions. It was conjectured by Laface and Ugaglia that Cremona reduced divisors of $\mathbb{P}^3$ are linearly non-special or they contain a quadric through 9 points in their base locus which gives speciality. By applying the above degeneration techniques, we consider on the components, the following divisors:

$$D^{\mathbb{P}} = 3H - 2(E_1 + \cdots + E_4),$$
$$D^{\mathbb{F}} = 4H - 3E_0 - 2(E_5 + \cdots + E_9).$$

A further step is to lift the above degeneration to the space blown-up along the lines L_{0i}, $i \in \{5,6,7,8,9\}$ and L_{ij}, $\{i,j\} \subset \{1,2,3,4\}$, both in the central and

in the general fibers. We notice that in the general fiber the strict and the total transforms of D coincide, and so do their cohomology groups. The degenerated divisor, that we denote by $D_{(1)_0}$, is obtained as fibered product of ${D^{\mathbb{P}}}_{(1)}$ and ${D^{\mathbb{F}}}_{(1)}$ over the intersection of their traces on the pull-back of Y, that abusing notation we denote by Y. By upper-semicontinuity we have $h^0(D_{(1)_0}) \geq h^0(D)$.

We consider the restriction maps to Y, ${D^{\mathbb{P}}}_{(1)} \to {D^{\mathbb{P}}}_{(1)}|_Y$ and ${D^{\mathbb{F}}}_{(1)} \to {D^{\mathbb{F}}}_{(1)}|_Y$, and we obtain the following kernel divisors

$$ {D^{\mathbb{P}}}_{(1)} - Y = 2H - 2(E_1 + \cdots + E_4) - \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{4} E_{ij}, $$

$$ {D^{\mathbb{F}}}_{(1)} - Y = 4H - 4E_0 - 2(E_5 + \cdots + E_9) - (\sum_{i=5}^{9} E_{0i}). $$

One computes

$$ \operatorname{ldim}(D) = \chi(D) = \chi({D^{\mathbb{P}}}_{(1)} - Y) + \chi({D^{\mathbb{F}}}_{(1)} - Y) + \chi({D^{\mathbb{P}}}_{(1)}|_Y \cap {D^{\mathbb{F}}}_{(1)}|_Y). $$

The first kernel satisfies condition (5.1a) and has only simple lines as linear obstructions. Hence all its cohomology groups are null, by Theorem 6.1.

The second kernel has also only simple lines in its linear base locus and its Euler characteristic is null. However it contains the rational normal curve given by the 6 points with multiplicity 2 in its base locus. It is easy to see that $h^0((\hat{D}_{\mathbb{F}})_{(1)} - Y) = 1$, hence $h^1((\hat{D}_{\mathbb{F}})_{(1)} - Y) - h^2((\hat{D}_{\mathbb{F}})_{(1)} - Y) = 1$.

Denoting by h and e_{ij}, $\{i,j\} \subset \{1,2,3,4\}$, and $e_{0,i}$, $i \in \{5,6,7,8,9\}$, the generators of Picard group of Y, we describe the matching conditions on Y with the following divisor:

$$ M := {D^{\mathbb{P}}}_{(1)}|_Y \cap {D^{\mathbb{F}}}_{(1)}|_Y = 3h - \sum_{i,j} e_{ij} - \sum_{i=5}^{9} e_{0i}. $$

The points $L_{0,i} \cap Y$, $i \in \{5,6,7,8,9\}$, are in general position, while the points $L_{ij} \cap Y$, with $\{ij\} \subset \{1,2,3,4\}$, are in star configuration given by four lines in $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^2$. Hence this collection of points impose independent conditions and $\chi(M) = -1$, see Theorem 7.3. Thus $h^0(M) = 0$ and $h^1(M) = 1$.

We conclude that $h^0(D) = h^0(D_{(1)_0})$ and the speciality of D is explained by means of the speciality of 2 of the involved divisors: $h^1(D) = h^1(\hat{D}^{\mathbb{F}}_{(1)} - Y) - h^2(\hat{D}^{\mathbb{F}}_{(1)} - Y) + h^1(M)$.

References

[1] J. Alexander, A. Hirschowitz: *Polynomial interpolation in several variables*, J. Algebraic Geom. 4(4) (1995), 201-222

[2] C. Bocci, *Special effect varieties in higher dimension*, Collectanea Mathematica (2005) Volume: 56, Issue: 3, page 299-326; ISSN: 0010-0757

[3] C. Bocci, *Special Effect Varieties and* (-1)*-Curves*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. Volume 40, Number 2 (2010), 397-419

[4] M.C. Brambilla and G. Ottaviani, *On the Alexander-Hirschowitz theorem*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 212 (2008), no. 5, 1229–1251

[5] M.C. Brambilla, O. Dumitrescu and E. Postinghel, *On a notion of speciality of linear systems in* $\mathbb{P}^n$, http://arxiv.org/pdf/1210.5175v2.pdf, to appear in *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*

[6] S. Cacciola, M. Donten-Bury, O. Dumitrescu, A. Lo Giudice, J. Park, *Cones of divisors of blow-ups of projective spaces*, Matematiche (Catania) 66 (2011), no. 2, 153–187
[7] K. Chandler, *The geometric interpretation of Fröberg-Iarrobino conjectures on infinitesimal neighbourhoods of points in projective space*, J. Algebra 286 (2005), no. 2, 421–455
[8] L. Chiantini and C. Ciliberto, *Weakly defective varieties.*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 354 (2002), no. 1, 151–178
[9] C. Ciliberto, *Geometrical aspects of polynomial interpolation in more variables and of Waring's problem*, European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. I (Barcelona, 2000), 289–316, Progr. Math., 201, Birkhäuser, Basel (2001)
[10] C. Ciliberto, B. Harbourne, R. Miranda, J. Roé, *Variations on Nagata's conjecture*, Clay Math. Proc. 18, 185–203, Amer. Math. Soc., (2013)
[11] C. Ciliberto and R. Miranda: *Degenerations of Planar Linear Systems*, J.Reine Angew. Math. 501 (1998), 191-220
[12] C. Ciliberto and R. Miranda: *Linear Systems of Plane Curves with Base Points of Equal Multiplicity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352 (2000), 4037-4050
[13] I. Dolgachev, *Weyl groups and Cremona transformations*, Singularities, Part 1 (Arcata, Calif., 1981), 283–294, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 40, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1983)
[14] M. Dumnicki, *An Algorithm to Bound the Regularity and Nonemptiness of Linear Systems in* $\mathbb{P}^n$, J. Symb. Comput., Volume 44, Number 10, 1448–1462, (2009)
[15] M. Dumnicki, T. Szemberg, J. Szpond and H. Tutaj-Gasinska, *Symbolic generic initial systems of star configurations*, Preprint ArXiv:1401.4736 [math.AG] (2014)
[16] A. V. Geramita, B. Harbourne and J. Migliore, *Star configurations in* $\mathbb{P}^n$, J. Algebra, 376 (2013), 279–299
[17] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer, New York (1977)
[18] A. Laface and E. Postinghel, *Secant varieties of Segre-Veronese embeddings of* $(\mathbb{P}^1)^r$, Math. Ann. 356 (2013), no. 4, 1455-1470
[19] A. Laface and L. Ugaglia, *On a class of special linear systems on* $\mathbb{P}^3$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 358 (2006), no. 12, 5485–5500
[20] A. Laface and L.Ugaglia, *On multiples of divisors associated to Veronese embeddings with defective secant variety*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 16 (2009), no. 5, Linear systems and subschemes, 933–942
[21] E. Postinghel, *A new proof of the Alexander-Hirschowitz interpolation theorem*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 191 (2012), no. 1, 77-94
[22] I. R. Shafarevich, *Algebraic Geometry II. Cohomology of algebraic varieties. Algebraic surfaces*, Enc. Math. Sci. 35, Springer, Heidelberg 1996

E-mail address: dumitrescu@math.uni-hannover.de

Institut für Algebraische Geometrie GRK 1463, Welfengarten 1, 30167 Hannover, Germany

E-mail address: elisa.postinghel@wis.kuleuven.be

KU Leuven, Department of Mathematics, Celestijnenlaan 200B, 3001 Heverlee, Belgium